



SOUND **CITIES** ASSOCIATION

**2016
REGIONAL COMMITTEE AND
BOARD APPOINTMENTS**

Table of Contents

Sound Cities Association 2016 Board of Directors	2
Sound Cities Association Staff	3
Committees and Boards	
Advisory Council for Aging & Disability Services (ADS Advisory Council).....	4
All Home Coordinating Board.....	6
Board of Health (BOH) King County	8
Children and Youth Advisory Board (CYAB)	10
Domestic Violence Initiative Regional Task Force (DVI)	12
Economic Development Council of Seattle & King County (EDC).....	14
Emergency Management Advisory Committee (EMAC) King County	16
Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) King County.....	18
Joint Recommendations Committee (JRC) King County	22
King Conservation District Advisory Committee (KCDAC)	25
King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee (KCFCDAC)	27
LEOFF 1 Disability Retirement Board (LEOFF1) King County	30
Local Hazardous Waste Management Program (LHWMP) King County	31
Mental Illness and Drug Dependency (MIDD) Oversight Committee King County	33
Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Advisory Council (PSCAAAC)	35
Puget Sound Regional Council Economic Development District Board (EDDB)	37
Puget Sound Regional Council Executive Board	39
Puget Sound Regional Council Growth Management Policy Board (GMPB)	42
Puget Sound Regional Council Operations Committee	45
Puget Sound Regional Council Transportation Policy Board (TPB)	46
Regional Law, Safety and Justice Committee (RLSJC) King County	51
Regional Policy Committee (RPC) King County.....	53
Regional Transit Committee (RTC) King County	56
Regional Water Quality Committee (RWQC) King County	63
Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) King County.....	66
Staff Committees	
All Home Funder Alignment Committee	69
Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Committee King County.....	70
Interjurisdictional Team (IJT) Growth Management Planning Council	71
Puget Sound Regional Council Regional Project Evaluation Committee (RPEC)	72
South Central Action Area Caucus Group (SCAACG) Puget Sound Partnership	73
Other Recent SCA Policy Positions	75
SCA Board Policies regarding Appointments to Regional Committees and Boards	77

Sound Cities Association 2016 Board of Directors



President
Nancy Backus
Auburn
South Caucus



Vice President
David Baker
Kenmore
North Caucus



Treasurer
Amy Walen
Kirkland
North Caucus



Director-at-Large
Bill Allison
Maple Valley
South Caucus



Immediate
Past President
Matt Larson
Snoqualmie



Jim Ferrell
Federal Way
South Caucus



Leanne Guier
Pacific
South Valley
Caucus



Bob Harrison
Issaquah
City Manager/
Administrator
Representative



Will Ibershof
Duvall
Snoqualmie Valley
Caucus



Hank Margeson
Redmond
North Caucus



Marlla Mhoon
Covington
SCA Public Issues
Committee (PIC)
Chair



Dana Ralph
Kent
South Caucus



Catherine Stanford
Lake Forest Park
North Caucus

Sound Cities Association Staff



Deanna Dawson



Katie Kuciemba



Ellie Wilson-Jones



Doreen Booth



Kristy Cole

Deanna Dawson – Executive Director

deanna@soundcities.org

206-433-7170 office

206-495-3265 cell

Katie Kuciemba – Senior Policy Analyst

katie@soundcities.org

206-433-7169 office

206-495-5294 cell

Ellie Wilson-Jones—Policy Analyst

ellie@soundcities.org

206-433-7167 office

206-495-5238 cell

Doreen Booth – Policy Analyst

doreen@soundcities.org

206-433-7147 office

206-495-3525 cell

Kristy Cole – Administrative Services Manager

kristy@soundcities.org

206-433-7168 office

Advisory Council for Aging & Disability Services (ADS Advisory Council)



David Baker

2016 SCA Advisory Council for Aging & Disability Services Appointment

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
David Baker	Kenmore	dbaker@kenmorewa.gov	12/31/16

Staff

Ellie Wilson-Jones	ellie@soundcities.org
--------------------	-----------------------

ADS Advisory Council Meeting Times and Location

The ADS Advisory Council generally meets on the second Friday of every month from 12:00 PM to 2:00 PM in the Seattle Municipal Tower, 700 Fifth Avenue, Room 4060, Seattle, WA 98104 unless another location is noted on the monthly agenda. SCA caucus meetings are scheduled as needed.

Website: <http://agingkingcounty.org/advisory-council/>

A Brief History/Role of the ADS Advisory Council

The ADS Advisory Council is a dedicated volunteer citizens group, which represents older adults and individuals with disabilities. The mission of the ADS Advisory Council is to identify the needs of older people and adults with disabilities in the community, advise on services to meet those needs, and advocate for local, state, and national programs that promote quality of life. The ADS Advisory Council works with Seattle-King County Aging and Disability Services toward those ends.

Seattle-King County Aging and Disability Services (ADS) is housed within the City of Seattle Human Services Department and is jointly sponsored by the City of Seattle, King County, and United Way of King County. ADS serves as the Area Agency on Aging for King County. The development of such an agency and designation of an advisory council is mandated by Title III of the Older Americans Act of 1965, as amended. The budget for ADS totaled roughly \$40 million in 2015, the majority of which was non-discretionary federal funding for Medicaid

long-term care clients. The primary sources of funding for other ADS programs and services (non-Medicaid) are the federal Older Americans Act and state Senior Citizens Services Act (SCSA).

ADS Advisory Council Make Up/Voting Rights:

The ADS Advisory Council comprises 27 voting members who are appointed by the three agency sponsors: King County, City of Seattle, and United Way of King County. Members serve for up to three consecutive two-year terms. SCA’s appointment is a recommendation to King County to fill a seat for a city elected official. SCA makes a recommendation to the King County Executive, and the Executive’s appointment is confirmed by the King County Council.

Issues that will likely be considered by the ADS Advisory Council in 2016

- State approval of the draft ADS Area Plan for 2016-2019 and implementation of the plan
- Affordable and accessible housing that enables older adults and people with disabilities to remain in their communities and services for older adults experiencing or at risk of homelessness
- Sustainable financing for retirement and long-term care, including the state’s application for a Section 1115 Medicaid Waiver
- Support for family caregivers, memory/dementia care, and other emerging issues
- Improved protections against elder abuse, fraud, and financial exploitation

All Home Coordinating Board



Nancy Backus



Doreen Marchione

2016 SCA All Home Coordinating Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address
Nancy Backus	Auburn	nbackus@auburnwa.gov
Doreen Marchione	Kirkland	dmarchione@kirklandwa.gov
Staff		
Ellie Wilson-Jones		ellie@soundcities.org

All Home Coordinating Board Meeting Times and Location

The Coordinating Board generally meets on the first Wednesday of even numbered months from 2:00 PM to 4:00 PM at the South Seattle College, Georgetown Campus, 6737 Corson Avenue South, Seattle, WA 98108. Caucus meetings are held before the full Coordinating Board meeting from 1:30 PM to 2:00 PM.

Website: <http://allhomekc.org/committees/coordinating-board/>

A Brief History/Role of the All Home Coordinating Board

In 2005, a broad coalition of stakeholders convened a group called the Committee to End Homelessness to focus on addressing and eliminating homelessness in King County. This group adopted a 10-Year Plan to End Homelessness (2005-2015) and ultimately the community succeeded in ending homelessness for almost 40,000 people. However, in 2015, roughly 10,000 people were experiencing homelessness in King County on a given day, and almost 40 percent remained unsheltered. Racial disparities remained stark, with Native Americans seven times more likely to experience homelessness than Whites, and African Americans five times more likely.

The Committee to End Homelessness was renamed All Home and adopted a new Strategic Plan and Charter in 2015. The vision underlying this new All Home Strategic Plan for 2015-2019 is to make homelessness rare in King County, eliminate racial disparities, and for those who do become homeless, ensure that the experience is brief and a one-time occurrence.

The Coordinating Board will provide oversight and leadership for the implementation of the Strategic Plan while ensuring accountability for results. Pursuant to the new Strategic Plan and Charter, the Coordinating Board will be the primary decision-making body for All Home, replacing the previous Interagency Council and Governing Board, which have been dissolved. The new Charter also created three SCA member seats, filled by city staff, on the All Home Funder Alignment Committee (discussed on page 69 of this booklet). Other All Home committees include a Consumer Advisory Council, Population Advisory Workgroups, a Data and Evaluation Workgroup, a Safe Harbors Steering Committee, and a Communications Subcommittee. An ad hoc Policy Subcommittee was also convened by the Coordinating Board in late 2015 and may be formally chartered in 2016.

All Home Coordinating Board Make Up/Voting Rights:

The Coordinating Board brings together a diverse body of stakeholders working to address issues of homelessness and leverage the expertise and resources of the partners and the wider community in collaborative support of the Strategic Plan. In addition to two SCA appointees, the 28 voting members of the Coordinating Board include representatives from King County and the cities of Bellevue and Seattle as well as systems leaders, philanthropy and faith organizations, nonprofit homeless providers, and individuals who have experienced homelessness. The Coordinating Board is led by a smaller Executive Committee.

Issues that will likely be considered by the All Home Coordinating Board in 2016

- Implementation of the new All Home Strategic Plan
- Coordinated entry and assessment for all populations
- Advocacy for All Home’s adopted 2016 state legislative priorities and development of 2017 state legislative priorities
- Creation of a Policy Subcommittee and potential development of local and federal legislative priorities

Selected SCA All Home Coordinating Board Policy Position Statements

Committee to End Homelessness 2015-2019 Strategic Plan (Adopted 6/17/2015)

The Sound Cities Association (SCA) shares the vision of the Committee to End Homelessness (CEH), which is that homelessness is rare in King County, racial disparities are eliminated, and if one becomes homeless, it is brief and only a one-time occurrence. SCA endorses the 2015-2019 CEH Strategic Plan, and its goals of making homelessness rare, making homelessness brief and one-time, and creating a community to end homelessness.

Flexibility in Investment of Hotel/Motel Taxes for Affordable Housing (Adopted 2/25/2015)

The Sound Cities Association supports legislation to allow King County to bond against future hotel/motel tax revenue reserved for housing beginning in 2021. To accomplish this, RCW 67.28.180 (3)(i) will need to be amended with a technical fix that specifically authorizes bonding for affordable housing. Such legislation would allow King County to bond against future revenue and allow up to \$45 million in new housing funds to be released over the next six years to create and preserve affordable workforce housing in coordination with transit investments.

Board of Health (BOH) King County



David Baker



Largo Wales



Susan Honda



Shelley Kloba

2016 SCA Board of Health Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
David Baker	Kenmore	dbaker@kenmorewa.gov	12/31/16
Largo Wales	Auburn	lwales@auburnwa.gov	12/31/16
Alternate			
Susan Honda	Federal Way	susan.honda@cityoffederalway.com	12/31/16
Shelley Kloba	Kirkland	skloba@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/16
Staff			
Ellie Wilson-Jones		ellie@soundcities.org	

Board of Health Meeting Times and Location

The BOH meets on the third Thursday of each month from 1:30 PM to 3:30 PM. Meetings are held in the King County Council Chambers, 10th floor, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104. Caucus meetings are held before the BOH meeting from 12:30 PM to 1:30 PM.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/health/BOH.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Board of Health

The King County Council established the King County Board of Health (BOH) in accordance with Washington State law (RCW 70.05.035). The functions of the BOH are to set countywide public health policy, enact and enforce local public health regulations, and carry out other duties of local boards of health specified in state law.

Board of Health Make Up/Voting Rights:

The BOH has 10 voting members and one nonvoting member. Eight of the 10 voting members are elected officials: three from the King County Council (whose votes are weighted as two votes each), three from the Seattle City Council, and two from suburban cities (SCA). The two remaining voting members are health professionals that are selected by members of the

Board. The health professionals serve as citizen public health experts, assisting the Board with complex, often technical, public health issues. A third health professional serves as the nonvoting member.

Issues that will likely be considered by the Board of Health in 2016

- Health system transformation including implementation of the Affordable Care Act and other activities that will impact the health of King County residents
- Sustainable, long-term public health funding
- Environmental health fees
- Healthy housing and planning for healthy communities
- Implementation of FDA menu labeling and impacts on King County
- Vaping and E-Cigarettes
- Naloxone availability
- On-Site Septic fees

Selected SCA Board of Health Policy Position Statements

Pharmaceutical Products (Adopted 10/31/2012)

SCA supports a King County product stewardship program that provides a safe and effective means of disposal of pharmaceutical products.

Pharmaceutical Products (Adopted 7/14/2010)

SCA supports a product stewardship program that provides a safe and effective means of disposal of pharmaceutical products.

Public Health Funding (Adopted 12/17/2014)

The Sound Cities Association (SCA) recognizes that public health services are needed to ensure the health and safety of all of our residents. SCA supports increased stable and dependable statewide funding for public health through additional revenue streams dedicated to public health. These sources may include but are not limited to taxes on e-cigarettes / vaping devices with funding dedicated to public health.

Public Health Funding (Adopted 1/16/2009)

[SCA] recognizes that stable and dedicated public health funding is needed to protect our community. It further acknowledges that it must be a funding source that does not run counter to the interests of cities, such as a county-wide utility tax.

Public Health Funding (Adopted 3/28/2007)

[SCA] members agree that additional funding for public health is needed. To that end, [SCA] supports the request of the Joint Selection Committee on Public Health Funding for \$50 million state funds annually in new monies for public health needs throughout Washington State. Our membership believes public health services are a function of the state and county governments, and therefore requests that a distribution be made at the county level proportionate to the need to increase the counties' existing efforts toward effective outcomes.

Children and Youth Advisory Board (CYAB)



Nancy Backus



Hilda Thompson

2016 SCA Children and Youth Advisory Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address
Nancy Backus	Auburn	nbackus@auburnwa.gov
Hilda Thompson	Lake Forest Park	hthompson@ci.lake-forest-park.wa.us
Staff		
Ellie Wilson-Jones		ellie@soundcities.org

Children and Youth Advisory Board Meeting Times and Location

The newly forming Children and Youth Advisory board is slated to meet twice monthly initially at times and locations that are to be determined. SCA caucus meetings will be scheduled as needed.

A Brief History/Role of the Children and Youth Advisory Board

The goal of the Children and Youth Advisory Board (CYAB) is to improve the health and well-being of children and youth by utilizing a collective impact model to implement strategies that focus on prevention and early intervention. To that end, the CYAB will provide advice to the King County Executive and Council on policy related to children and youth and will also serve as the advisory and oversight body for the majority of the Best Starts for Kids levy proceeds. The specific duties of the CYAB are informed by the Youth Action Plan and Best Starts for Kids Levy Ordinance, each of which are described below.

In 2014, King County approved Ordinance 17738 calling for the development of a Youth Action Plan to set county priorities for serving young people. A King County Youth Action Plan Task Force issued the King County Youth Action Plan (YAP) in April 2015. The YAP identified nine recommendation areas, including the creation of an advisory body to the Executive and Council to assist King County policymakers as they consider outcomes, policies, and investments for children and families and youth and young adults.

In 2015, the King County Executive and Council then launched an initiative called Best Starts

for Kids (BSK). Ordinance 18088 submitted a six-year BSK property tax levy proposal to voters, which was approved in November 2015, that will invest approximately \$392.3 million in prevention and early intervention for children, youth, families, and communities. The CYAB will be charged with making recommendations on and monitoring the distribution of the bulk of the levy proceeds.

Children and Youth Advisory Board Make Up/Voting Rights:

The King County Executive has appointed 40 members, including two elected officials appointed by SCA, three youth, and a representative from the City of Seattle Human Services Department, to serve as the in initial membership of the CYAB. The balance of the CYAB appointees are representatives from social services agencies and child development, education, and health experts. All members are subject to confirmation by the King County Council.

Issues that will likely be considered by the Children and Youth Advisory Board in 2016

- Development of an implementation plan relating to the BSK youth and family homelessness prevention initiative
- Development of an implementation plan for the BSK levy proceeds pertaining to children and youth strategies
- Conducting a review of county programs, services, and outcomes for children, families, youth, and young adults
- Development of policy, budget, and other recommendations to the King County Executive and Council for aligning county efforts with other initiatives and coalitions

Selected SCA Children and Youth Advisory Board Policy Position Statements

Best Start for Kids Levy (Adopted 7/15/2015)

In order to support the healthy development of children and youth, families and communities across King County, the Sound Cities Association (SCA) urges the King County Council to place the Best Starts for Kids levy on the November 2015 ballot for consideration by the voters.

Domestic Violence Initiative Regional Task Force (DVI)



Susan Honda



Shelley Kloba



Denis Law



Doris McConnell



Tina Budell



Tamie Deady



Dawn Dofelmire



Yolanda Trout

2016 SCA Domestic Violence Initiative Regional Task Force Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Susan Honda	Federal Way	susan.honda@cityoffederalway.com	12/31/16
Shelley Kloba	Kirkland	skloba@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/16
Denis Law	Renton	dlaw@rentonwa.gov	12/31/16
Doris McConnell	Shoreline	dmccconnell@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/16
Alternate			
Tina Budell	Kent	tbudell@kentwa.gov	12/31/16
Tamie Deady	Black Diamond	tdeady@ci.blackdiamond.wa.us	12/31/16
Dawn Dofelmire	Algona	dawnd@algonawa.gov	12/31/16
Yolanda Trout	Auburn	ytrout@auburnwa.gov	12/31/16
Staff			
Ellie Wilson-Jones		ellie@soundcities.org	

Domestic Violence Initiative Regional Task Force Meeting Times and Location

The DVI Regional Task Force meets quarterly at rotating sites around King County. The 2016 meetings will be held March 10, 9:00 AM to 11:00 AM (SeaTac City Hall, Council Chambers); June 9, 9:00 AM to 11:00 AM (Bellevue City Hall); September 8, 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM (Seattle University); and December 8, 9:00 AM to 11:00 AM (Renton City Hall, Council Chambers). Caucus meetings will be scheduled in advance of DVI meetings.

DVI Information: www.kingcounty.gov/~media/exec/PSB/documents/RLSJC/2010/Materials/Jan2010DVIMemo.ashx

A Brief History/Role of the Task Force

The goals of the Domestic Violence Initiative (DVI) are to deliver practical improvements to our region's legal response to domestic violence and to develop practical steps to improve operations, streamline communication, raise standards, and minimize barriers that interfere with victim safety and offender accountability. The Domestic Violence Initiative was formed by the King County Prosecuting Attorney and the Coalition Ending Gender-Based Violence (formerly the King County Coalition Against Domestic Violence). This committee focuses on improving the effectiveness of our region's legal response to domestic violence.

Domestic Violence Initiative Regional Task Force Make Up/Voting Rights:

The DVI Regional Task Force is comprised of leaders of organizations involved in our region's legal response to domestic violence, including public health, community based organizations, and civil and criminal justice agencies. Committee work is done by consensus.

Issues that will likely be considered by the DVI in 2016

- Implementation of HB 1840 related to firearms surrender
- Cataloging of available domestic violence tools and resources
- Activities to raise awareness about domestic violence and promote prevention
- Compilation of local domestic violence statistics
- Training for law enforcement, including use of body cameras

Selected SCA Domestic Violence Initiative Policy Position Statements

Domestic Violence Checklist (Adopted 6/16/2010)

SCA supports the voluntary use of domestic violence protection order checklists designed for and intended to be used by court and data centers, judges and participants, police departments, and patrol officers to provide uniformity, reduce costs and improve accuracy.

Economic Development Council of Seattle & King County (EDC) Board



Jeanne Burbidge



Dave Carson



Allan Ekberg



John Holman



Bob Jeans



Armondo Pavone



Jennifer Sutton



Penny Sweet



Allan Van Ness



Nancy Backus



Deanna Dawson

2016 EDC Investors/SCA Appointments to the EDC Board

Member	City	Email Address
Jeanne Burbidge	Federal Way	jeanne.burbidge@cityoffederalway.com
Dave Carson	Redmond	dcarson@redmond.gov
Allan Ekberg	Tukwila	allan.ekberg@tukwilawa.gov
John Holman	Auburn	jholman@auburnwa.gov
Bob Jeans	Snoqualmie	bjeans@ci.snoqualmie.wa.us
Armondo Pavone	Renton	apavone@rentonwa.gov
Jennifer Sutton	Issaquah	jennifers@issaquahwa.gov
Penny Sweet	Kirkland	psweet@kirklandwa.gov
Allan Van Ness	Kenmore	avanness@kenmorewa.gov

2016 EDC Board Member and Member of EDC Executive Board

Member	City	Email Address
Nancy Backus	Auburn	nbackus@auburnwa.gov

2016 SCA Staff Representative to the EDC Board

Member	Organization	Email Address
Deanna Dawson	SCA	deanna@soundcities.org

Economic Development Council of Seattle & King County Board Meeting Time and Location

The EDC meets quarterly with the first meeting of 2016 held on February 25 from 3:00 PM to 4:30 PM at the EDC, 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1500, Seattle, WA 98010. Future meetings are tentatively scheduled for June 15, September 15, and December 6. For more information about EDC meetings, contact the EDC at (206) 389-8650.

Website: <http://edc-seaking.org/>

A Brief History/Role of the Board

Founded in 1971, the Economic Development Council of Seattle & King County (EDC) is the only public-private economic development partnership encompassing King County and its 39 cities. Through its “cluster-driven, client-focused” approach, the EDC provides one-on-one, confidential consulting services, free-of-charge, to individual businesses seeking to establish, expand or relocate to Seattle and King County. The EDC’s mission is to expand and diversify the economy throughout King County by retaining and recruiting jobs, promoting global competitiveness, and growing strong and sustainable industry clusters. The EDC serves as the first point of contact for site selection consultants and company analysts looking to expand or relocate businesses in the greater Seattle area. The EDC is committed to continued success to accelerate regional economic development and ensure sustained prosperity.

Only EDC investors are eligible for appointment to the EDC Board, and there are a limited number of seats for cities. The EDC elects its Board at its Annual Investor Meeting in late February. For 2016, the EDC has asked SCA to recommend eight city representatives to serve on the Board. In addition, SCA is a member of the EDC, and is represented on the EDC Board by SCA’s Executive Director. SCA is also represented on the Executive Board of the EDC by Mayor Nancy Backus of Auburn.

Emergency Management Advisory Committee (EMAC) King County



Sean Kelly



Don Persson



Penny Sweet



Pam Fernald



Marianne Klaas



Tola Marts

2016 SCA Emergency Management Advisory Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Sean Kelly	Maple Valley	sean.kelly@maplevalleywa.gov	12/31/16
Don Persson	Renton	dpersson@rentonwa.gov	12/31/18
Penny Sweet	Kirkland	psweet@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/18
Alternate			
Pam Fernald	SeaTac	pferald@ci.seatac.wa.us	12/31/16
Marianne Klaas	Clyde Hill	council1@clydehill.org	12/31/18
Tola Marts	Issaquah	tolam@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/17
Staff			
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

Individual SCA Member Jurisdiction Seats (Cities in King County with population over 100,000)

Member	City	Email Address
John Madson	Kent	jmadson@kentfirerfa.org

Emergency Management Advisory Committee Meeting Times and Location

EMAC meets on the second Tuesday of each month from 1:30 PM to 3:00 PM. Caucus meetings will be held in advance of the EMAC meeting at a time mutually agreeable to members. EMAC meetings are held at the 3555 NE 2nd Street, Renton, WA 98056 - the Renton Public Works Maintenance Division Conference Room.

Website: http://www.kingcounty.gov/safety/prepare/EmergencyManagementProfessionals/Plans/HomelandSecurity/EMAC_Region6HomelandSecurityCouncil.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The Emergency Management Advisory Committee (EMAC) and its subcommittees advise, assist, review, and comment on emergency management and homeland security issues, regional planning and policies. EMAC is an advisory body to the King County Executive, the King County Council, and the Office of Emergency Management on emergency management issues in order to facilitate coordination of regional emergency planning in King County. The King County Department of Emergency Management serves as the coordinating entity for cities, county governmental departments and the private sector and coordinates with other appropriate agencies during incidents and events of regional significance.

Emergency Management Advisory Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

There are 28 seats on the committee. Members represent cities, fire service, law enforcement, hospitals, the Port of Seattle, King County departments, special purpose districts, tribes, utilities, non-profit agencies, churches, and the private sector. Cities with population over 100,000 have their own EMAC seats (Seattle, Bellevue, Kent) and SCA has appointing authority for three members and three alternates to the committee. Members may be elected officials or staff.

Issues that will likely be considered by the EMAC in 2016

- Preparation and after action work on the Cascadia Rising Subduction Zone Exercise in June 2016
- Implementation of the 2016 Strategic Plan Priority Capability Milestones: Operational Coordination; Environmental Response / Health and Safety and Mass Care Services
- Annual grant submission proposals for Federal Homeland Security funds.
- Continued oversight of EMAC subcommittees

Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) King County



Leanne Guier



Tola Marts



Tom Odell



Ed Prince



John Stilin



Jeff Wagner



Debbie Bertlin



Claude DaCorsi



Tom Stowe



Nancy Tosta

2016 SCA Growth Management Planning Council Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Leanne Guier	Pacific	lguier@ci.pacific.wa.us	12/31/16
Tola Marts	Issaquah	tolam@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/16
Tom Odell	Sammamish	todell@sammamish.us	12/31/16
Ed Prince	Renton	eprince@rentonwa.gov	12/31/16
John Stilin	Redmond	jcstilin@redmond.gov	12/31/16
Jeff Wagner	Covington	vwagner@covingtonwa.gov	12/31/16

2016 SCA Growth Management Planning Council Appointments (continued)

Alternate	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Debbie Bertlin	Mercer Island	debbie.bertlin@mercergov.org	12/31/16
Claude DaCorsi	Auburn	cdacorsi@auburnwa.gov	12/31/16
Tom Stowe	Beaux Arts Village	tstowe@beauxarts-wa.gov	12/31/16
Nancy Tosta	Burien	nancyt@burienwa.gov	12/31/16

Staff

Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org
--------------	------------------------

Growth Management Planning Council Meeting Times and Location

Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) meetings in 2016 will be held on March 30, May 25, September 28, and November 30. Meetings are from 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. Caucus meetings are held from 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM in advance of the GMPC meeting. Meetings are held at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/property/permits/codes/growth/GMPC.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Growth Management Planning Council

The Growth Management Act (GMA), passed by the State Legislature in 1990, requires that counties and cities develop a collaborative set of framework policies to guide development of each jurisdiction's comprehensive plan. The GMPC is a body created by interlocal agreement to implement GMA requirements. The GMPC is made up of elected officials from King County, Seattle, Bellevue, other cities and towns in King County, and representatives of special purpose districts. The GMPC develops and updates the King County Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs) in the areas of environment, housing, development patterns, economy, transportation, and public facilities and services.

Realization of a countywide vision involves collaboration, trade-offs and difficult choices about the appropriate level of growth, its location, the type of growth to be encouraged, public spending, governance decisions, environmental protection, and the quality of life in King County. Through the GMPC, jurisdictions within King County are working together to plan for economic and population growth in King County. Policies recommended by the GMPC require approval by the King County Council and ratification by King County cities before they become effective.

The GMPC is staffed by an Interjurisdictional Team (IJT). The IJT meets monthly to develop materials for GMPC meetings. More information about the IJT can be found on page 71 of this booklet.

Growth Management Planning Council Make Up/Voting Rights:

Seattle	3 representatives	3 votes (2 Councilmembers/Mayor)
King County	6 representatives	6 votes (5 Councilmembers/Executive)
SCA	6 representatives	3 votes
Bellevue	1 representative	½ vote

Special districts have two ex-officio seats on the committee; one seat is held by a sewer/water district representative and one by a school district representative.

Issues that will likely be considered by the GMPC in 2016

- School district / jurisdiction collaboration update
- 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan
- Potential UGA changes as part of the 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan
- Continued monitoring of affordable housing activities

Selected SCA Growth Management Planning Council Policy Position Statements

School Siting Guidance Policy (Adopted 12/17/2014)

The Sound Cities Association supports the proposed Countywide Planning Policy PF-19A as follows:

Plan, through a cooperative process between jurisdictions and school districts, that public school facilities are available to meet the needs of existing and projected residential development consistent with adopted comprehensive plan policies and growth forecasts.

Cooperatively work with each school district located within the jurisdiction's boundaries to evaluate the school district's ability to site school facilities necessary to meet the school district's identified student capacity needs. Use school district capacity and enrollment data and the growth forecasts and development data of each jurisdiction located within the school district's service boundaries. By January 2016 and every two years thereafter, determine if there is development capacity and the supporting infrastructure to site the needed school facilities. If not, cooperatively prepare a strategy to address the capacity shortfall. Potential strategies may include:

- Shared public facilities such as play fields, parking areas and access drives;
- School acquisition or lease of appropriate public lands;
- Regulatory changes such as allowing schools to locate in additional zones or revised
- development standards; and
- School design standards that reduce land requirements (such as multi-story structures or reduced footprint) while still meeting programmatic needs.

In 2017, and every two years thereafter, King County shall report to the GMPC on whether the goals of this policy are being met. The GMPC shall identify corrective actions as necessary to implement this policy.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Adopted 7/16/2014)

Sound Cities Association (SCA) supports adoption by the Growth Management Planning Council of Environmental (EN) Policy 18A:

King County shall assess and report countywide greenhouse gas emissions associated with resident, business, and other local government buildings, on road vehicles and solid waste as least every two years. King County shall also update its comprehensive greenhouse gas emissions inventory that quantifies all direct local sources of

greenhouse gas emissions as well as emissions associated with local consumption at least every five years.

Greenhouse Gas Emission Targets (Adopted 6/25/2014)

SCA supports adoption by the Growth Management Planning Council of a revised Environmental (EN) Policy 17, which should read as follows:

Reduce countywide sources of greenhouse gas emissions, compared to a 2007 baseline, by 25% by 2020, 50% by 2030, and 80% by 2050. Assuming 1% annual population growth, these targets translate to per capita emissions of approximately 8.5 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MTCO_{2e}) by 2020, 5 MTCO_{2e} by 2030, and 1.5 MTCO_{2e} by 2050.

SCA further recognizes that the proposed greenhouse gas reduction targets are aspirational and countywide in nature and that cities are encouraged but not required to individually adopt such targets.

CPPs and the Extension of Sewer Lines to Schools outside UGA (Adopted 6/9/2011, Amended 9/15/2011)

SCA supports countywide planning policies that protect the rural and resource lands in the county by focusing urban growth and land uses within the UGA, and SCA supports strict limits on locating urban public facilities and infrastructure outside the UGA, and SCA supports amending the CPPs to prohibit the extension of sewer lines into the rural area, including to schools, on the condition that such prohibition not extend to rural area properties currently owned by public school districts and intended to be developed as schools. If the school district sells its property outside of the UGA to a third party, the prohibition of the extension of sewer lines will be applied. SCA would also support a position that the affected school districts collectively, King County, and affected municipalities through their SCA representation agreed to, if that position was consistent with Vision 2040 policies and the Growth Management Act.

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The Joint Recommendations Committee (JRC) was created through Interlocal Cooperation Agreements (ICAs) that formed the King County Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Consortium and the King County HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Consortium. The current ICA is for the years 2015-2017. The JRC makes recommendations to the King County Executive on all policy matters concerning the CDBG and HOME programs, including the Consolidated Housing Plan and related plans and policies. The JRC also reviews and makes recommendations on the projects and programs to be funded with the CDBG and HOME program funds and monitors funds to ensure that all geographic areas and participating jurisdictions benefit fairly over a specific time period.

A second ICA forms the Regional Affordable Housing Program (RAHP) and grants authority for the RAHP to the JRC. The most recent RAHP ICA is also for the years 2015-2017. The RAHP implements SHB 2060, a document recording fee originally approved in June 2002, which is to be utilized for low income housing. The City of Seattle joins the JRC for RAHP items.

The JRC makes annual recommendations on approximately \$10-12 million funding; including approximately \$5.5 million of CDBG funds, \$3.75 million of HOME funds, and \$1.7-\$3 million in RAHP operating and capital funds.

JRC Make Up/Voting Rights:

The JRC is made up of 12 representatives:

Number of Members	Representing	Voting Matrix
3	King County	Vote on all funds
4	SCA (29 Cities)	Vote on all funds
2	Joint Agreement Cities (Kirkland, Renton, Redmond, Shoreline)	RAHP, HOME, some CDBG policies
2	HOME Cities (Bellevue, Kent, Auburn, Federal Way)	RAHP and HOME funds
1	City of Seattle	RAHP funds only

*Normandy Park is not currently a member of the JRC consortium. Milton participates with Pierce County.

Voting is dependent on the type of membership in the consortium. Each member has one vote but not all members can vote on all issues (see Voting Matrix above).

Issues that will likely be considered by the JRC in 2016

- Determine priorities for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) 2017 capital funding
- ARCH North/East sub-region CDBG awards
- CDBG non-housing capital awards
- Affordable housing - capital recommendation awards
- Review prior year CDBG and/or HOME projects that are failing their timely expenditure requirement
- Briefing on Housing Repair Program
- JRC Federal and State legislative agendas

Selected SCA Joint Recommendations Committee Policy Position Statements

Flexibility in Investment of Hotel/Motel taxes for Affordable Housing (Adopted 2/25/2015)

The Sound Cities Association supports legislation to allow King County to bond against future hotel/motel tax revenue reserved for housing beginning in 2021. To accomplish this, RCW 67.28.180 (3)(i) will need to be amended with a technical fix that specifically authorizes bonding for affordable housing. Such legislation would allow King County to bond against future revenue and allow up to \$45 million in new housing funds to be released over the next six years to create and preserve affordable workforce housing in coordination with transit investments.

King Conservation District Advisory Committee (KCDAC)



Jim Berger



Erika Morgan



Nancy Tosta



Eileen Barber



Brenda Fincher



Becky Nixon

2016 SCA King Conservation District Advisory Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Jim Berger	Carnation	jimb@carnationwa.gov	12/31/16
Erika Morgan	Black Diamond	emorgan@ci.blackdiamond.wa.us	12/31/16
Nancy Tosta	Burien	nancyt@burienwa.gov	12/31/16
Alternate			
Eileen Barber	Issaquah	eileenb@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/16
Brenda Fincher	Kent	bfincher@kentwa.gov	12/31/16
Becky Nixon	Duvall	becky.nixon@duvallwa.gov	12/31/16
Staff			
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

King Conservation District Advisory Committee Meeting Times and Location

The KCDAC meets every other month from 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM at rotating sites. Meetings in 2016 will be held on January 20, March 16, May 18, July 20, September 21, and November 16. The caucus meets prior to the KCDAC meetings from 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM.

Website: www.kingcd.org

A Brief History/Role of the King Conservation District Advisory Committee

The King Conservation District (KCD) was established in 1949 by the Washington Conservation Commission to provide landowners with assistance to protect and enhance natural resources. KCD serves 35 jurisdictions (34 cities and King County) with a combined population of 1.8 million. The District’s mission is “to promote the sustainable uses of natural resources through responsible stewardship.”

More than 60 years after it was formed, increased urbanization, endangered salmon, loss of forest cover, threats to the health of Puget Sound, increased challenges from stormwater and flooding, and the need for a resilient, sustainable, and equitable food system make the King Conservation District’s programs and services essential.

KCD collaborates with private landowners, member jurisdictions and nonprofit organizations to provide stewardship services. Because it is an independent, non-regulatory agency, KCD is seen by many landowners as a trusted mentor and partner, providing education, technical assistance, and financial incentives to help people implement measures to improve the sustainability and productivity of their land.

In 2014, KCD convened a new Advisory Committee as part of its implementation of its Task Force/Conservation Panel recommendations. In December 2015, the King County Council approved a five year system of rates and charges to fund the King Conservation District near the legislative ceiling of \$10.00 per parcel. With increased emphasis on serving the needs of urban constituents, the new work plan and budget will enable KCD to respond to increased demands for local food, healthy forests and clean water.

SCA has appointing authority on the Advisory Committee for three representatives and three alternates. Only cities that are members of the KCD are eligible for appointment. Enumclaw, Federal Way, Milton, Pacific, and Skykomish are not members of the KCD.

Issues that will likely be considered by the KCDAC in 2016

- Evaluation of 2015 Program of Work grant activities to improve grant processes in 2016
- 2016 Regional food system grants
- 2016 Urban forestry assistance programs
- Urban shorelines and riparian habitat education component development
- Strategic plan development

Selected SCA King Conservation District Advisory Committee Policy Position Statements

King Conservation District 2015 Program of Work (Adopted 7/16/2014)

Sound Cities Association (SCA) supports the 2015 King Conservation District (KCD) Program of Work as recommended by the KCD Advisory Committee, and supports adoption of a budget to support the Program of Work in its entirety.

King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee (KCFCDAC)

2016 SCA Appointments



Dawn Dofelmire



Leanne Guier



Erika Morgan



Byron Shutz



Will Ibershof



James McNeal



Jennifer Sutton



Jeff Wagner

Individual SCA Member Jurisdictions with Permanent Seats



Nancy Backus
Auburn



Jim Berger
Carnation



Suzette Cooke
Kent



Allan Ekberg
Tukwila



Ken Hearing
North Bend



Matt Larson
Snoqualmie



Denis Law
Renton

A Brief History/Role of the King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee Cont.

permanent and rotating (two-year) members. The 10 permanent seats on the committee are held by each mayor, or designated councilmember alternate of Tukwila, Auburn, Kent, Renton, Snoqualmie, North Bend, Carnation, Seattle and Bellevue. The King County Executive is the tenth permanent member of the committee. Four of the rotating seats are held by mayors or city council members nominated by the Sound Cities Association (SCA). SCA also recommends four alternates. This is a two-year appointment.

Issues that will likely be considered by the KCFCDAC in 2016

- 2017 Capital and Operations budgets
- Review and potentially update policies for use of Opportunity Fund dollars
- Continued oversight of capital projects under construction
- Continued oversight of fund balance and carryover

Selected SCA King County Flood Control District Advisory Committee Policy Position Statements

King County Flood Control District – Seattle Seawall (Adopted 6/9/2011)

SCA supports partial funding, up to the additional \$24.5 million requested, for the Seattle seawall replacement project from funds available through the King County Flood Control District as part of its 2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program. Support for such expenditure is contingent on 1) not creating a precedent that establishes FCD support for coastal zone projects as a general policy, and 2) not jeopardizing other significant flood control projects funded through the CIP.

Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Plan 1 (LEOFF1) Disability Retirement Board King County



Verna Seal

2016 SCA LEOFF1 Disability Retirement Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Verna Seal	Tukwila	verna.seal@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/16

Staff

Ellie Wilson-Jones ellie@soundcities.org

LEOFF1 Disability Retirement Board Meeting Times and Location

Meetings are held monthly on the last Wednesday of each month from 9:00 AM to 12:00 PM in the King County Chinook Building, 401 Fifth Avenue, 1st floor, Room 118, Seattle, WA 98104

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/employees/LEOFF1/Meetings.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Plan 1 (LEOFF1) Disability Retirement Board reviews and rules on claims for reimbursement of medical expenses and applications for disability leave and retirement benefits mandated under Washington State LEOFF retirement Plan 1.

The Board represents 14 fire districts and 12 public safety departments in King County as well as the King County Sheriff's Office. The Board's jurisdiction covers a membership of approximately 633 active-duty and retired LEOFF-1 fire fighters and police officers. This is a two-year appointment.

LEOFF1 Disability Board Oversight Make Up/Voting Rights:

There are five voting members (a Firefighter representative, Police representative, Sound Cities Association representative, King County Council representative, and a citizen representative) on the Board plus a medical consultant, a mental health consultant, a legal consultant and an administrator.

Local Hazardous Waste Management Program (LHWMP) Management Coordinating Committee (MCC)



Hank Myers

2016 SCA Local Hazardous Waste Management Program Management Coordination Committee Appointment

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Hank Myers	Redmond	hmyers@redmond.gov	12/31/16

Staff

Ellie Wilson-Jones	ellie@soundcities.org
--------------------	-----------------------

Local Hazardous Waste Management Program Management Coordination Committee Meeting Times and Location

The LHWMP’s Management Coordination Committee (MCC) meets on the third Tuesday of every month from 10:15 AM to 12:00 PM in the King/Chinook Conference Rooms, 6th floor of the King Street Center, 201 S. Jackson Street, Seattle, WA 98104. SCA caucus meetings are scheduled as needed.

Website: www.lhwmp.org/home/AboutUs/mcc.aspx

A Brief History/Role of LHWMP and the Management Coordination Committee

The Local Hazardous Waste Management Program (LHWMP) in King County is a multi-jurisdictional program whose mission is to protect and enhance public health and environmental quality throughout King County by reducing the threat posed by the production, use, storage, and disposal of hazardous materials. The Program is implemented through a multi-jurisdictional Management Coordination Committee (MCC). The MCC was enabled by the Seattle City Council (SMC 10.76) and the King County Board of Health (BOH Code 2.08). It is also recognized as the Program governing entity by the Washington State Department of Ecology. The MCC sets the Program’s strategic direction, guides implementation of policies, and oversees the Program’s operations including development of annual budgets and work plans.

LHWMP’s Management Coordination Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

The MCC is made up of five members representing each of the following: King County Department of Natural Resources (DNR) – Solid Waste Division, King County DNR – Water and Land Resources Division, Seattle Public Utilities, Sound Cities Association, and Seattle-King County Public Health. Each member has one vote.

Issues that will likely be considered by LHWMP’s MCC in 2016

- Work on hazardous product stewardship policy and legislation, including paint collection and recycling legislation
- Implementation of the Program's lines of business: general services, targeted programs (business, residential), and policy
- Guidance and tracking of ongoing Program operations, including finances and budget, annual work plans, levels of service, and performance
- Tracking implementation of King County's Secure Medicine Return program
- Rate analysis

Selected SCA Local Hazardous Waste Committee Policy Position Statements

Product Stewardship (Adopted 10/16/2013)

The Sound Cities Association supports product stewardship approaches that enhance our existing reuse, recycling and waste management systems by requiring product manufacturers to be responsible for their products that contain toxic and hazardous materials.

Product Stewardship (Adopted 10/31/2012)

SCA supports a King County product stewardship program that provides a safe and effective means of disposal of pharmaceutical products.

Product Stewardship (Adopted 7/14/2010)

SCA supports a product stewardship program that provides a safe and effective means of disposal of pharmaceutical products.

Mental Illness and Drug Dependency (MIDD) Oversight Committee King County



Dave Asher



Brenda Fincher

2016 SCA Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Oversight Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Dave Asher	Kirkland	dasher@kirklandwa.gov	6/30/17
Alternate			
Brenda Fincher	Kent	bfincher@kentwa.gov	6/30/17
Staff			
Ellie Wilson-Jones		ellie@soundcities.org	

MIDD Oversight Committee Meeting Times and Location

The MIDD Oversight Committee will meet the fourth Thursday of most months in 2016, with additional meetings held some months. The 2016 meetings will be held January 28, February 25, March 24, April 29 (Friday), May 19, May 26, June 23, July 28, August 25, September 22, October 14 (Friday), October 27, and December 8 at the King County Chinook Building, 1st floor, 401 Fifth Avenue, Room 121-123, Seattle, WA 98104. Meetings are held from 12:15 PM to 2:00 PM. Caucus meetings will be held in advance of the MIDD Oversight Committee meeting from 11:15 AM to 12:15 PM.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/healthservices/MHSA/MIDDPlan/MIDDCommittees.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

In 2005, the State Legislature authorized counties to implement a 0.1 percent sales tax to support new or expanded chemical dependency or mental health treatment services and therapeutic court programs. The King County Council took action to implement the tax, called the Mental Illness and Drug Dependency (MIDD) sales tax, in 2007 and set an expiration date of January 1, 2017 for the original MIDD ordinance. The MIDD levy can be reauthorized, for subsequent years, by King County Council action. The MIDD sales tax generates about \$53 million per year.

The MIDD Oversight Committee is an advisory body to the King County Executive and Council. Its purpose is to ensure that the implementation and evaluation of the strategies and programs funded by the MIDD sales tax revenue are transparent, accountable, collaborative, and effective.

The MIDD Oversight Committee is a unique partnership of representatives from the health and human services and criminal justice communities. Recognizing that King County is the countywide provider of mental health and substance abuse services, the committee works to ensure that access to mental health and chemical dependency services is available to those who are most in need throughout the county, regardless of geographic location. This is a two-year appointment.

As the original MIDD ordinance nears its sunset date, the MIDD Oversight Committee will be assessing the programs and services funded through the first MIDD tax, engaging the community in determining the needs for a potential second MIDD tax authorization, evaluating proposals for services to be funded through a potential second MIDD tax, and preparing a detailed plan for the King County Executive and Council outlining proposed future uses for MIDD funding. The King County Council is expected to consider renewal of the MIDD sales tax in late 2016.

Issues that will likely be considered by the MIDD in 2016

- Implementation of the work plan for MIDD funding reauthorization
- Evaluation of MIDD-funded programs and proposals for new programs
- Development of fund balance recommendations

Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Advisory Council (PSCAAAC)



Dan Grausz

2016 Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Advisory Council Appointment

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Dan Grausz	Mercer Island	dan.grausz@mercergov.org	6/30/2017

Staff

Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org
--------------	------------------------

Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Advisory Council Meeting Times and Location

PSCAAAC meetings are generally held on the second Wednesday of the month. 2016 meetings will be held on January 13, March 9, April 13, May 11, July 13, September 14, and November 9 at 1904 Third Avenue, Suite 105, Seattle, WA 98101. Meetings are held from 9:00 AM to 12:15 PM.

Website: www.pscleanair.org/aboutus

A Brief History/Role of the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Advisory Council

The Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Advisory Council is comprised of individuals representing large and small business, area sources, suburban cities, education, transportation, health and science, tribal interests, fire officials, the environmental community, ports and the public-at-large. The role of the Advisory Council is to provide counsel and input on Puget Sound Clean Air Agency programs and regulations. This is a three-year appointment.

Issues that will likely be considered by the PSCAAAC in 2016

- Overall agency Strategic Plan implementation
- Civil penalty policies
- Review 2017 budget
- Wood smoke pollution reduction strategies
- Diesel pollution reduction strategies
- Alternatives to yard waste burning in areas outside the Urban Growth Area
- Environmental Justice work (Highly Impacted Communities)
- Discuss compliance (permitting and enforcement) team next generation technology
- Ozone standard attainment status
- Marijuana facilities emissions
- Analysis of greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction strategies and agency work to reduce transportation GHG emissions

Selected Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Advisory Council Policy Position Statements

Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Representation (Adopted 7/16/2014)

Sound Cities Association (SCA) supports the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency (PSCAA) providing seats on the Advisory Council for cities in each of the four member counties. Because the largest cities in each county have seats on the PSCAA Board under RCW 70.94.100, the city seats on the Advisory Council should be held by cities other than the largest cities in each county. The appointments should be made by Sound Cities Association for King County, and by the Pierce County Cities and Towns Association and the Snohomish County Cities and Towns Association respectively in Pierce and Snohomish counties.

SCA supports amending RCW 70.94.100 in order to ensure representation for cities other than the largest cities in each county on the board of the PSCAA.

Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Rates (Adopted 5/21/2014)

Sound Cities Association (SCA) opposes the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency's (PSCAA) proposal to increase rates by \$.25 per capita. This proposed increase of 44% or more is too dramatic an increase to be borne by cities in a year, or a biennium. SCA recommends that PSCAA develop specific action items, with associated costs, for each strategy in the plan, and prioritize the strategies, so that cities can understand how the additional revenue would be used and how the work could be implemented incrementally.

Puget Sound Regional Council Economic Development District Board (EDDB)



Catherine Stanford



John Stilin



Jim Berrios



De'Sean Quinn

2016 SCA PSRC Economic Development District Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Catherine Stanford	Lake Forest Park	cstanford@cityofflp.com	12/31/17
John Stilin	Redmond	jcstilin@redmond.gov	12/31/17

Alternate

Jim Berrios	Kent	jberrios@kentwa.gov	12/31/17
De'Sean Quinn	Tukwila	desean.quinn@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/17

Staff

Deanna Dawson	deanna@soundcities.org
---------------	------------------------

PSRC Economic Development District Board Meeting Times and Location

The EDDB meets quarterly on the first Wednesday of the month from 1:00 PM to 3:00 PM. Caucus meetings will be held in advance of the EDDB from 12:00 PM to 1:00 PM. In 2016 meetings will be held on March 24, June 1, September 7, and December 7. Meetings are held at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.psrc.org/about/boards/edd

A Brief History/Role of the Economic Development District Board

The regional Economic Development District (EDD) is the federally designated economic development district for the central Puget Sound region covering King, Kitsap, Pierce and Snohomish counties. Its members include representatives from private business, local governments, tribes, military, and trade organizations. The EDD entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (2003) with PSRC whereby the two agencies have consolidated staff services, both to increase government efficiency and to further integrate the District's economic development work with the regional growth management and transportation planning of the Puget Sound Regional Council.

SCA has appointing authority for two members and two alternates to the EDDB.

An update of the Regional Economic Strategy, the economic development blueprint for the central Puget Sound region, will be the main focus of the EDDB in 2016 and 2017. The current

Regional Economic Strategy was adopted in July 2012. The update will include a thorough review of the current vision for the strategy, a series of stakeholder meetings, and the development of a new Regional Economic Strategy for the Region. The updated Regional Economic Strategy is expected to be adopted by the EDDB in March 2017.

Economic Development District Board Make Up:

The majority of seats on the Board of Directors must be filled by those representing general purpose local governments. Membership on the EDDB is as follows:

Jurisdiction	Members
King County	2
Seattle	2
Bellevue	1
Other Cities & Towns in King County (SCA Appointees)	2
Kitsap County	1
Bremerton	1
Other Cities & Towns in Kitsap County	1
Pierce County	1
Tacoma	1
Other Cities & Towns in Pierce County	1
Snohomish County	1
Everett	1
Other Cities and Towns in Snohomish County	1
Muckleshoot Tribal Council	1
Puyallup Tribe	1
Suquamish Tribe	1
Snoqualmie Tribe	1
Ports (Seattle, Tacoma, Everett, Bremerton)	4
State Department of Commerce	1
Economic Development Councils	4
Workforce Development Councils	4
Organized Labor	1
Regional Chamber	1

Issues that will likely be considered by the EDDB in 2016

- Regional Economic Strategy update including an economic analysis, a competitive analysis, a strategy development process and the development of performance measures
- Redesignation of EDA Investing in Manufacturing Communities Partnership (IMCP)
- Development of performance trends data platform

Selected SCA PSRC Economic Development District Board Policy Position Statements

Funding and tools (Adopted 7/28/2005)

- a) SCA supports identification of State funding/tools for effective economic development; and,
- b) SCA supports state and federal funding and funding mechanisms to foster and support economic development and revitalization efforts in cities.

Puget Sound Regional Council Executive Board

2016 SCA Appointments



Nancy Backus



Dave Hill



John Marchione



Bruce Bassett



Fred Butler



Don Gerend



Will Ibershof



Bernie Talmas

SCA Member Cities with Individual Seats (Cities in King County with population over 80,000)



Suzette Cooke
Kent



Jim Ferrell
Federal Way



Denis Law
Renton



Amy Walen
Kirkland

Executive Board constitutes a quorum.

A two-thirds (2/3) majority vote may be called for if the board members representing a county, the largest city within that county, and the other cities and towns within that county, unanimously call for a two-thirds vote. When a simple majority is required on a vote, it shall be one-half (1/2) plus one of those present and voting. When a two-thirds (2/3) majority is required, it shall be a two-thirds (2/3) majority of those present and voting. Membership on the Executive Board is as follows:

Jurisdiction	Members	Weighted Votes
King County	2	262.07
Seattle	4	98.21
Bellevue	1	20.01
Federal Way	1	13.46
Kent	1	18.22
Kirkland	1	12.37
Renton	1	14.60
Other Cities & Towns in King County (SCA Appointees)	3	85.20
Kitsap County	1	33.48
Bremerton	1	15.30
Other Cities & Towns	1	18.19
Pierce County	2	107.37
Tacoma	1	49.16
Other Cities & Towns in Pierce County	1	58.18
Snohomish County	2	97.11
Everett	1	24.55
Other Cities & Towns in Snohomish County	2	72.56
Port of Bremerton	1	3
Port of Everett	1	10
Port of Seattle	1	50
Port of Tacoma	1	30
WSDOT	1	30
WA Transportation Commission	1	30
Grand Total	32	1,153

Issues that will likely be considered by the PSRC Executive Board in 2016

- Regional Economic Strategy plan development (update)
- Vision 2040 policies, including tiered centers framework
- Transportation 2040 plan development (update)
- Regional Project Selection and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) development

Puget Sound Regional Council Growth Management Policy Board (GMPB)



Jay Arnold



John Holman



Hank Margeson



Allan Ekberg



Chris Roberts



Paul Winterstein

2016 SCA PSRC Growth Management Policy Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Jay Arnold	Kirkland	jarnold@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/16
John Holman	Auburn	jholman@auburnwa.gov	12/31/16
Hank Margeson	Redmond	hmargeson@redmond.gov	12/31/16
Alternate			
Allan Ekberg	Tukwila	allan.ekberg@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/16
Chris Roberts	Shoreline	croberts@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/16
Paul Winterstein	Issaquah	paulw@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/16
Staff			
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

PSRC Growth Management Policy Board Meeting Times and Location

GMPB meets every month on the first Thursday from 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the GMPB meeting from 9:00 AM to 10:00 AM. Meetings are held at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.psrc.org/about/boards/gmpb

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The purpose of the Growth Management Policy Board is to advise the Executive Board of the Puget Sound Regional Council on regional aspects of growth management issues pursuant to (a) state legislation (Multicounty Planning Policies, State Environmental Policy Act and Regional Transportation Planning Organizations) and (b) the 1993 Regional Council Interlocal Agreement. Per adopted procedures, the Board reviews local plans and countywide planning policies and makes recommendations to the Executive Board regarding compatibility with the Growth Management Act and consistency with the adopted regional growth and transportation strategies.

Growth Management Policy Board Make Up/Voting Rights:

Voting members have one vote each and actions and recommendations are approved by a simple majority of the voting members present. Voting members may move and second any motion for discussion. Non-voting members may move or second a motion which may move forward for discussion only if a voting member makes the other required part of a motion (e.g., “second” or “move”). In cases where a substantial minority opinion is held by at least three members, this opinion is transmitted to the Board together with the GMPB action. Membership on the GMPB is as follows:

Jurisdiction	Members
King County	2
Seattle	2
Bellevue	1
Other Cities & Towns in King County (SCA Appointees)	3
Kitsap County	1
Bremerton	1
Other Cities & Towns in Kitsap County	1
Pierce County	1
Tacoma	1
Other Cities & Towns in Pierce County	1
Snohomish County	1
Everett	1
Other Cities and Towns in Snohomish	1
Muckleshoot Tribal Council	1
Puyallup Tribe	1
Suquamish Tribe	1
Ports (Seattle, Tacoma, Everett, Bremerton)	1
State Department of Transportation	1

Issues that will likely be considered by the PSRC GMPB in 2016

- Comprehensive plan certifications and overall review
- Regional Centers Framework implementation
- Vision 2040 policies, including tiered centers framework

Selected SCA Growth Management Policy Board Policy Position Statements

Military Facilities as Regional Centers (Adopted 11/26/2014)

SCA supports deferring the consideration of designating military facilities as regional centers to the Vision 2040 update in 2018. This would allow the region the opportunity to consider the questions around designating military facilities within a larger planning context. SCA recognizes that military facilities are regional economic drivers and that the facilities have impacts on the communities adjacent to them, however, more work needs to be done prior to considering such regional center designations, including greater public outreach efforts and the implementation of PSRC's Regional Centers Report.

Manufacturing Industrial Centers (Adopted 11/26/2014)

SCA supports maintaining the Puget Sound Regional Council's (PSRC) current Manufacturing Industrial Center designation policy, including the existing employment threshold of 10,000 jobs. SCA also supports PSRC expediting the scheduled implementation of PSRC's Regional Centers Report to determine whether updates to existing policies should be made.

Puget Sound Regional Council Operations Committee



John Marchione

Nancy Backus

2016 SCA PSRC Operations Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
John Marchione	Redmond	jmarchione@redmond.gov	12/31/16
Alternate			
Nancy Backus	Auburn	nbackus@auburnwa.gov	12/31/16
Staff			
Katie Kuciemba		katie@soundcities.org	

PSRC Operations Committee Meeting Time and Location

The PSRC Operations Committee meets on the fourth Thursday of each month from 9:00 AM to 10:00 AM at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.psrc.org/about/boards/ops

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The Operations Committee is composed of PSRC Executive Board members and chaired by the PSRC’s Vice President. The Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Executive Board on the budget and work program, and on contracts and other financial and personnel issues. Membership on the Operations Committee is as follows:

Jurisdiction	Members
King County	2
Seattle	1
Other Cities & Towns in King County (SCA Appointee)	1
Other Cities & Towns in Kitsap County	1
Pierce County	1
Other Cities & Towns in Pierce County	1
Snohomish County	1
Other Cities & Towns in Snohomish County	1
Statutory Member	1

Puget Sound Regional Council Transportation Policy Board (TPB)



Dana Ralph



Rich Wagner



Amy Walen



Kim Allen



Kate Kruller



Benson Wong

2016 SCA PSRC Transportation Policy Board Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Dana Ralph	Kent	dralph@kentwa.gov	12/31/16
Rich Wagner	Auburn	rwagner@auburnwa.gov	12/31/16
Amy Walen	Kirkland	awalen@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/16
Alternate			
Kim Allen	Redmond	kallen@redmond.gov	12/31/16
Kate Kruller	Tukwila	kate.kruller@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/16
Benson Wong	Mercer Island	benson.wong@mercergov.org	12/31/16
Staff			
Katie Kuciemba		katie@soundcities.org	

PSRC Transportation Policy Board Meeting Times and Location

The PSRC Transportation Policy Board meets on the second Thursday of the month, from 9:30 AM to 11:30 AM at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the TPB at a mutually agreed upon by members.

Website: www.psrc.org/about/boards/tpb

A Brief History/Role of the Board

The Transportation Policy Board (TPB) makes recommendations to the PSRC Executive Board on key transportation issues pursuant to (a) federal legislation, (b) state legislation, (c) the 1993 Regional Council Interlocal Agreement, and (d) appropriate related concerns of member jurisdictions, citizens and other interests. TPB reviews local plans and countywide planning policies and makes recommendations to the Executive Board regarding compatibility with the Growth Management Act and consistency with the adopted regional growth and transportation strategies. The TPB includes representatives of the PSRC’s member jurisdictions, regional business, labor, civic, and environmental groups.

Transportation Policy Board Make Up/Voting Rights:

All actions and recommendations of the Board are approved by a simple majority of the voting members present. Voting members may move and second any motion for discussion. Non-voting and ex officio members may move or second a motion which may move forward for discussion only if a voting member makes the other required part of a motion (e.g., “second” or “move”). In cases where a substantial minority opinion is held by at least three voting members of the Board, this opinion shall be transmitted to the Executive Board together with the Board action. A quorum consists of half of the voting members, except that state legislative voting members will not be counted towards fulfilling the quorum requirement. Following is the voting TPB membership:

Jurisdiction	Members
King County	2
Seattle	2
Bellevue	1
Other Cities & Towns in King County(SCA Appointees)	3
Local transit in King County (King County Metro)	1
Kitsap County	1
Bremerton	1
Other Cities & Towns in Kitsap County	1
Local transit in Kitsap County	1
Pierce County	1
Tacoma	1
Other Cities & Towns in Pierce County	1
Local transit in Pierce County	1
Snohomish County	1
Everett	1
Other Cities & Towns in Snohomish County	1
Local transit in Snohomish County	1
Regional Transit Authority (Sound Transit)	1
Muckleshoot Tribal Council	1
Puyallup Tribe	1
Suquamish Tribe	1
Ports (Seattle, Tacoma, Everett, Bremerton)	1
State Transportation Commission	1
State Department of Transportation	1
State Legislative Transportation Committee Members	4

Issues that will likely be considered by the PSRC TPB in 2016

- Transportation 2040 plan development (update)
- Regional Project Selection and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) development
- Regional transit planning efforts, including Sound Transit 3 (ST3)

Selected SCA Transportation Policy Board Policy Position Statements

Balancing the T2040 Financial Strategy (Adopted 12/18/2013)

In order to balance the PSRC T2040 financial strategy, SCA supports adopting a hybrid approach rather than basing decisions solely on the PSRC prioritization scorecard. While this scorecard is a valuable source of information, it was not designed for or intended to be used as the sole tool for evaluating projects.

Comprehensive Approach to Regional Tolling (Adopted 5/29/2013)

The Sound Cities Association supports a more comprehensive approach to regional tolling implementation, through the formation of a special task force convened by the Puget Sound Regional Council that would advance the review of a system-wide approach to tolling our region's major highway facilities as an alternative to the current path of implementing tolling on a corridor by corridor basis. This comprehensive review should include consideration of the following components:

- Ability to demonstrate the value to toll payers and the region at large;
- Equity for toll payers across the region and a fair distribution of costs and benefits;
- Analysis of the direct and external costs and benefits of relatively recent tolling on Tacoma Narrows Bridge, SR 520 and SR 167, and future facilities, including an assessment of overall system performance across modes, greenhouse gas emissions, vehicle miles travelled, traffic diversion and potential mitigation measures, and experiences of the travelling public;
- Review the impact of tolling by income quintile, based on household car ownership and use;
- Review of the technology available to achieve a regional solution, the implementation challenges, and a proposed phasing plan with greater definition than that provided in the region's Transportation 2040 Plan;
- A robust discussion of the uses of both near and long-term revenues for transit to maximize the efficiency and equity of the tolled corridors and the system as a whole;
- Timing and staging of tolling implementation in tandem with the availability of choices, such as transit, that provide alternatives to paying tolls to address inequitable impacts as well as system operations; and
- Review and comparison of alternative finance options including Road Usage Charges (VMT).

Regional Tolling (Adopted 4/17/2013)

SCA supports mitigation from the State of Washington to address impacts from the current regional tolling of SR 520, as well as the potential regional tolling of I-90 and other future regional tolling.

Transportation 2040 (T2040) Prioritization (Adopted 11/2/2011)

SCA supports Transportation 2040 (T2040) Prioritization in accordance with the following guiding principles. T2040 Prioritization should include:

- A. Separate investment categories that prioritize like investments against like investments (i.e. bicycle/pedestrian/complete streets is its own category);
- B. Overarching measures that are used within each category to assess a project's likelihood of furthering a desired outcome (i.e. jobs – this measure considers the extent to which projects support businesses and job creation);
- C. Addressing maintenance, preservation and operations beginning with an inventory of the existing conditions of the Regional Transportation Network;
- D. That the Prioritization process not move forward, to the General Assembly, until there is agreement on the criteria to prioritize projects;
- E. Any crosscheck with regional growth trends must use updated population and employment forecasts rather than aspirational targets used in 2040 work plans.

Transportation 2040 Plan (Adopted 3/10/2010)

SCA supports the Draft Transportation 2040 plan with the following recommendations:

- SCA recommends that adaptive management be incorporated into the plan in its entirety including as adaptive management relates to climate change.
- SCA recommends a detailed process for moving the unprogrammed investments and policies to the constrained portion of the plan.
- SCA recommends there should be a methodology which encourages incorporating new concepts and technology into the plan.
- SCA recommends that because of the \$65 Billion funding gap (between current law revenue and the constrained plan), all funding options should be given serious consideration
- SCA recommends that any plan must be a hybrid that supports transit options and highway and arterial capacity improvement to serve urban fringe communities.

Regarding Transportation 2040 (Adopted 9/10/2009)

SCA supports the following guiding principles in updating Transportation 2040:

- SCA supports efforts in the update of Transportation 2040 to reduce congestion on facilities for all types of freight and personal travel.
- SCA supports efforts in the update of Transportation 2040 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and other pollutants by emphasizing conservation, new technologies and the linking of land use and transportation policies more tightly.
- SCA supports efforts in the update of Transportation 2040 to support the development of sustainable transportation funding.
- SCA supports efforts in the update of Transportation 2040 to consolidate all transit agencies in the PSRC region into one regional transit agency.

Projects Connecting Urban Centers (Adopted 5/21/2008)

- SCA supports more explicit policy language in the PSRC Federal Funds Regional Competition that gives equal treatment to projects that connect two urban centers and to projects that connect to only one center. This acknowledges that getting to an urban center is just as critical as connecting two urban centers.
- SCA supports adding new criteria for non-motorized projects such as trail projects where priority will be given to projects connecting regional trail routes or connecting to regional trail routes, and for sidewalk projects, where priority will be given to pedestrian routes providing access to school bus stops, schools and parks.

Transportation Funding (Adopted 7/28/2005)

- A. SCA will continue to support the needs of all suburban cities for funding for local, arterial and regional transportation.
- B. SCA will support transportation funding, especially local option revenues for major arterial projects.
- C. SCA will support local transportation funding options such as a gas tax, MVET, weight fees, and a regional transportation funding structure that is fair and equitable.
- D. SCA will support additional local funding options for transportation. Examples include a local sales tax, gas tax and more liberal TIF (traffic impact fee) calculations.
- E. SCA will support funding to complete urban corridors.

Regional Law Safety and Justice Committee (RLSJC) King County



Dave Carson



Kate Kruller



Toby Nixon



Dana Ralph



Hilda Thompson



Yolanda Trout



Ramiro Valderrama



Shari Winstead

2016 SCA Regional Law Safety and Justice Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Dave Carson	Redmond	dcarson@redmond.gov	12/31/16
Kate Kruller	Tukwila	Kate.kruller@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/16
Toby Nixon	Kirkland	tnixon@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/16
Dana Ralph	Kent	dralph@kentwa.gov	12/31/16
Hilda Thompson	Lake Forest Park	hthompson@ci.lake-forest-park.wa.us	12/31/16
Yolanda Trout	Auburn	ytrout@auburnwa.gov	12/31/16
Ramiro Valderrama	Sammamish	RValderrama-Aramayo@sammamish.us	12/31/16
Shari Winstead	Shoreline	swinstead@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/16

Staff

Ellie Wilson-Jones	ellie@soundcities.org
--------------------	-----------------------

Regional Law Safety and Justice Committee Meeting Times and Location

The Regional Law, Safety, and Justice Committee (RLSJC) generally meets the last Thursday of the month from 7:30 AM to 9:00 AM from January to March and every other month thereafter. The 2016 meetings will be held January 28, February 25, March 31, May 26, July 28, September 29, and December 8 in the Bertha Knight Landes Room in Seattle City Hall, 600 Fourth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104, unless another location is noted on the monthly agenda. SCA caucus meetings are scheduled as needed. The SCA Caucus Chair and Vice-Chair are also expected to attend meetings of the RLSJC Steering Committee.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/operations/Budget/RLSJC.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

RLSJC was created by state law to share and coordinate criminal justice information and programs, to address important criminal justice issues in the region, and plan for future needs. The RLSJC meets seven times a year to discuss issues broadly related to the fields of the law, safety, and justice. Discussion at the RLSJC can be instrumental in broadening regional understanding of changes in the law and best or promising practices and fostering regional and cross-system collaboration.

Regional Law Safety and Justice Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

As required by state law (RCW 82.09.300), the RLSJC includes members representing city legislative authorities, including SCA appointees, as well as members from law enforcement; prosecution; superior, juvenile, district, and municipal courts; the county jail; state corrections; and other required representational categories. Chairmanship of the committee rotates annually between SCA, the City of Seattle, and King County. For 2016, Tukwila City Councilmember Kate Kruller will serve as the Chair. A Steering Committee, which includes the RLSJC Chair and Vice Chair, is responsible for determining what issues will come before the Committee and setting meeting agendas. Committee work is done by consensus.

Issues that will likely be considered by the RLSJC in 2016

- Gang and gun violence
- Disproportionality in the juvenile justice system
- Intervention and prevention activities to reduce criminal justice system involvement
- Sexual assault and sex trafficking
- 2016 legislation related to law, safety, and justice
- Body cameras
- Disaster preparedness
- Heroin and opioids

Regional Policy Committee (RPC) King County



Suzette Cooke



Bill Pelozza



Bernie Talmas



Amy Walen



Dan Grausz



Dave Hill

2016 SCA Regional Policy Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Suzette Cooke	Kent	scooke@kentwa.gov	12/31/16
Bill Pelozza	Auburn	bpelozza@auburnwa.gov	12/31/16
Bernie Talmas	Woodinville	btalmas@ci.woodinville.wa.us	12/31/16
Amy Walen	Kirkland	awalen@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/16
Alternate			
Dan Grausz	Mercer Island	dan.grausz@mercergov.org	12/31/16
Dave Hill	Algona	mayor@algonawa.gov	12/31/16
Staff			
Katie Kuciemba		katie@soundcities.org	

Regional Policy Committee Meeting Times and Location

The Regional Policy Committee meets the second Wednesday of each month from 3:00 PM to 5:00 PM in the King County Council Chambers, 10th floor, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104. Caucus meetings are held in the Blue Conference Room in advance of the RPC from 2:00 PM to 3:00 PM.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/council/committees/regional_policy.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Regional Policy Committee

In the early 1990's following the merger of King County and Metro, in response to structural changes in King County government, three multijurisdictional policy committees were created to address transportation (Regional Transit Committee - RTC), water pollution control (Regional Water Quality Committee - RWQC), and regional issues (Regional Policy Committee - RPC).

The Regional Policy Committee (RPC) is responsible for addressing countywide issues including human services, public health, housing, open space, solid waste management, regional services financial policies, criminal justice, jails and district court services, and the siting of regional facilities. The RPC reviews and recommends regional policies and plans (other than transit and water quality) for consideration by the King County Council.

Regional Policy Board Make Up/Voting Rights:

Representation on the RPC includes three county councilmembers and six local elected city officials. Each county councilmember's vote is weighted as two votes. City officials are appointed from Seattle and other cities and towns in King County. Seattle appoints two representatives (each with one vote). SCA appoints four representatives (each with one vote). Members representing 6.5 votes constitute a quorum of a regional committee. In the absence of a quorum, the committee may perform all committee functions except for voting on legislation or a work program. Each King County regional committee has a chair and a vice-chair. The chair is a county councilmember appointed by the chair of the county council. The vice-chair is appointed by majority vote of those committee members who are not county councilmembers.

Issues that will likely be considered by the RPC in 2016

- Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan Update
- Behavioral health, including renewal of the Mental Illness and Drug Dependency (MIDD) sales tax
- E-911 Progress Report & Strategic Planning Process Report
- Puget Sound Emergency Radio Network (PSERN)
- Affordable housing and homelessness, including the All Home Strategic Plan
- Best Starts for Kids

Selected SCA Regional Policy Committee Policy Position Statements

Solid Waste – Energy Waste Technology (Adopted 7/17/2013)

Sound Cities Association supports the Solid Waste Division conducting a full review of options for waste disposal, including waste-to-energy, as part of the upcoming Sustainable Solid Waste System Study and through the Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan process.

2006 Solid Waste Transfer & Waste Management Plan Updates (Adopted 4/17/2013)

SCA requests that the Metropolitan Solid Waste Advisory Committee (MSWAC) and the King County Solid Waste Division review and recommend any appropriate updates to the 2006 Solid Waste Transfer and Waste Management Plan.

Service Improvement Plan of the Veterans Services Levy (Adopted 11/2/2011)

SCA supports continuity of services pursuant to adoption of the Service Improvement Plan (SIP) to guide the goals and investments of the Veterans and Human Services Levy for the next six years.

Veterans and Human Services Levy (Adopted 2/14/2011)

SCA supports the renewal of the King County Veterans and Human Services Levy in 2011 at the current level of five cents per \$1,000 of assessed value and with the continued 50-50% split between funding for services targeted specifically for veterans and their families and services for other King County residents.

Regional Transit Committee (RTC) King County



Dave Asher



Bruce Bassett



Dennis Higgins



Dave Hill



Kathy Hougardy



Kathy Huckabay



Ed Prince



John Wright



Claude DaCorsi



Matt Larson



Hank Margeson



Bill Ramos

2016 SCA Regional Transit Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Dave Asher	Kirkland	dasher@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/16
Bruce Bassett	Mercer Island	bruce.bassett@mercergov.org	12/31/16
Dennis Higgins	Kent	dhiggins@kentwa.gov	12/31/16
Dave Hill	Algona	mayor@algonawa.gov	12/31/16
Kathy Hougardy	Tukwila	kathy.hougardy@tukwilawa.gov	12/31/16
Kathleen Huckabay	Sammamish	khuckabay@sammamish.us	12/31/16
Ed Prince	Renton	eprince@rentonwa.gov	12/31/16
John Wright	Lake Forest Park	jwright@cityofflp.com	12/31/16

2016 SCA Regional Transit Committee Appointments (continued)

Alternate	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Claude DaCorsi	Auburn	cdacorsi@auburnwa.gov	12/31/16
Matt Larson	Snoqualmie	mayor@ci.snoqualmie.wa.us	12/31/16
Hank Margeson	Redmond	hmargeson@redmond.gov	12/31/16
Bill Ramos	Issaquah	billr@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/16

Staff

Katie Kuciemba	katie@soundcities.org
----------------	-----------------------

Regional Transit Committee Meeting Times and Location

The Regional Transit Committee meets the third Wednesday of each month from 3:00 PM to 5:00 PM. Meetings are held in the King County Council Chambers, 10th floor, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the RTC in the Southwest Conference Room from 1:45 PM to 3:00 PM.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/council/committees/regional_transit.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Regional Transit Committee

In the early 1990's following the merger of King County and Metro, in response to structural changes in King County government, three multijurisdictional policy committees were created to address transportation (Regional Transit Committee - RTC), water pollution control (Regional Water Quality Committee - RWQC), and regional issues (Regional Policy Committee - RPC).

The Regional Transit Committee (RTC) reviews and makes recommendations to the King County Council on policies for public transportation services operated by King County. The committee's responsibilities include the Strategic Plan for Public Transportation, which is the blueprint for establishing guidelines for allocation of transit service throughout King County; long range planning; and the structure for transit fares.

Regional Transit Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

Representation on the RTC includes three county councilmembers and 10 local elected city officials. Each county councilmember's vote is weighted as two votes. City officials are appointed from Seattle and other cities and towns in King County. Seattle currently appoints two representatives (each with one vote). SCA currently appoints eight member representatives (each with one-half vote). Members representing 6.5 votes constitute a quorum of a regional committee. In the absence of a quorum, the committee may perform all committee functions except for voting on legislation or a work program. Each King County regional committee has a chair and a vice-chair. The chair is a county councilmember appointed by the chair of the County Council. The vice-chair is appointed by majority vote of those committee members who are not county councilmembers.

Issues that will likely be considered by the RTC in 2016

- Strategic Plan for Public Transportation and the Metro Transit Service Guidelines Update
- Metro's Long Range Plan
- Alternative Transit Service
- Access to Transit Report and Recommendations

Selected SCA Regional Transit Committee Policy Position Statements

Metro Transit Principles (Adopted 6/25/2014)

1. Metro Transit must remain a regional transit system;
2. Reforms at Metro Transit need to be fully explored, understood, and clearly communicated;
3. Any transit tax increase adopted by any city to increase service should be sunsetted after no more than three years, in order to ensure that all cities in King County reengage on a system-wide financial plan;
4. The Regional Transit Committee (RTC) should define a minimum level of service standard for all communities in order to preserve connections and service throughout King County;
5. Metro Transit must provide consistent and transparent reporting on financial and service level data;
6. The productivity model at Metro Transit should be reviewed in order to ensure that travel between centers is evaluated fairly; and
7. We must continue to work in partnership with cities, King County, and other coalition members toward the shared goal of a statewide transportation package to address our critical transportation infrastructure needs.

Guiding Principles to Inform King County Metro Cuts (Adopted 12/18/2013)

SCA supports the following guiding principles to inform Metro's Transit Service Cut Proposal:

1. Public Process Highlighted by Public Education and Engagement:
 - Clear and transparent process.
 - Public engagement, including major transit stakeholders, that seeks input on specific impacts resulting from Metro's proposed service cuts and ideas to lessen these impacts, while still reducing service hours in these areas and routes.
 - Robust public communications, including but not limited to: (1) Explanation of Metro's current financial situation including the latest revenue estimate, revenue shortfall, and the number of service hour cuts that would be required to balance updated costs and revenue, in a way that is clearly understandable to stakeholders, including the average commuter; (2) explaining the need for service cuts and the methodology used to develop service cuts so that the public can understand why specific cuts are being proposed, (3) reporting on public engagement, including the effect of public input on proposed service cuts and (4) the effective use of technology to inform and involve the public on the service reduction process and proposed service changes and cuts.
 - Timing that makes sense given the speed of the decision-making process.

2. Service Cut Proposal and Sequencing/Phasing of Service Cuts:
 - Implement the Service Guidelines to the fullest extent practicable.
 - Transmit one service cut package to the King County Council for the for the full amount of service cuts that are necessary, recognizing that service cuts may be implemented in 2014 and 2015 (during service changes in September 2014 and February, June and September 2015). The County's development, review and adoption of one service cut package will promote public awareness of the magnitude of upcoming service cuts.
 - Service Cuts should be done in a manner that is directly tied to changing revenue conditions. The amount of service hours cut should only be the amount necessary to balance revenues and costs.
 - Ensure that service cuts throughout the county are done in a fair manner and clearly demonstrate geographic and social equity throughout the entire county. Considerations of geographic equity are of particular importance to cities and we request that Metro provide data on the distribution of transit service hours and proposed service hour cuts by sub-area. Make service changes by restructuring service within and across jurisdictions, not solely by cutting existing routes. Service changes across large geographies or that cross multiple jurisdictions should provide for an interconnected transit network.
 - Consider partnerships with regional organizations, tribes, local jurisdictions, and the private sector that are willing to fully or partially fund transit service to lessen the impacts of cuts, including alternatives to traditional service.

King County Metro Long Range Planning (Adopted 5/29/2013)

The Sound Cities Association supports the development of a King County Metro Long Range Plan that incorporates transit service needs identified in city comprehensive plans.

King County Transit Metro Strategic Plan (Adopted 6/9/2011)

SCA supports the King County Metro Transit Strategic Plan for Public Transportation 2011-2021 subject to the following conditions:

- a. That robust policies and strategies that achieve administrative, overhead, and other system-wide efficiencies and cost are included in the Strategic Plan update, and that the plan require that Metro periodically report on actions taken and outcomes related to efficiency, especially prior to considering any significant service changes.
- b. That the plan represents balanced prioritization of productivity, geographic value, and social equity in the guidelines for providing transit service fairly throughout the county. Factors for the reduction of services related to productivity, geographic value, and social equity shall be clearly stated in the strategic plan. Factors for the addition of services related to productivity, geographic value, and social equity shall be clearly stated in the strategic plan.
- c. That the plan includes performance measures and service guidelines that reflect the land use, growth, and travel patterns that characterize suburban and rural areas of King County, rapid growth and changing demographics of suburban and rural communities as evidenced in the most recent Census data, and system design

- elements that effectively link suburban and rural city residents to bus transit, such as park-n-ride facilities.
- d. That measures that will ensure geographic balance in the distribution of transit service are included in the Strategic Plan update. Specifically, at a minimum, SCA supports several current proposed plan elements, including:
 - Prioritizing service to urban and activity centers that are located in communities throughout urban King County
 - Tailoring productivity measures to different categories of routes, such as frequent all-day service vs. peak service and corridors serving central Seattle and University of Washington locations vs. corridors for travel exclusively between suburban locations
 - e. That adoption of the plan includes a requirement to develop and market expanded “alternative” transit services in areas with relatively low productivity that may face significant cuts under the proposed guidelines.
 - f. That adoption of the plan includes a requirement that Metro will initiate a collaborative process to identify concerns about the service guidelines as they pertain to additions to the system with a report and recommendations transmitted to the RTC along and with timely opportunity to consider and recommend amendments to the plan and guidelines as needed, preferably prior to seeking council or public approval for long-term funding for transit in the county.
 - g. That the plan includes social equity guidelines that focus on transit dependent populations, specifically low-income people, seniors, students, and disabled individuals without access to automobiles or otherwise dependent on bus transportation to meet daily needs.
 - h. That the plan includes explicit feedback procedures and opportunities to evaluate within a stated period of time whether service guidelines are performing as intended and, if needed, amend the service guidelines based on performance over time.
 - i. That Metro provides to policy makers sufficient information on scenarios for system cuts, system growth, and system restructures for the purposes of understanding, prior to plan adoption, the potential impacts of the proposed service guidelines on transit service levels to communities and subareas of King County.

Regional Transit Task Force (RTTF) Guiding Principles (Adopted 5/12/2010)

SCA recommends the following guiding principles for Regional Transit Task Force:

SCA Guiding Principles for King County Transit Services

The primary objective of the King County Regional Transit Task Force is to recommend to the King County Executive and County Council a policy framework that reflects the prioritization of key system design factors and to make recommendations about transit system design and function. These frameworks will be derived from an exploration of the transit system and its integration with the region’s public transportation and overall transportation system.

The following proposed draft guiding principles are organized in accordance with the policy framework and transit system design factors called out in the Regional Task Force Scope of Work.

Concurrence with, or Proposed Changes to, the Vision and Mission of King County Metro
SCA concurs with the current Metro mission statement to: “Provide the best possible public transit services that get people on the bus and improve regional mobility and quality of life in King County.”

*Criteria for Systematically Growing the Transit System to Achieve the Vision
Social Equity and Environmental Justice*

Metro should provide services and facilities that benefit all socioeconomic groups. Metro should take measures to ensure that the environmental impacts of its services and facilities do not disproportionately negatively impact any socioeconomic group.

In particular, Metro should provide access to transit services to transit-dependent populations, such as low-income households, the elderly, disabled, and other households without personal automobiles.

Geographic Equity

Metro should strive for an equitable distribution of transit service throughout the county, with consideration for locations of actual population and employment growth, planned population and employment growth, transit-supportive land uses, and locations of revenue generation.

Metro should strive to balance county-wide coverage and access to transit in all communities in King County with providing more intensive services to areas of the county with higher demonstrated transit ridership demand.

In striving for geographic equity, Metro should take into consideration investments from all sources -- including Metro ferries, van pools, street cars, and trolleys, Sound Transit, private transit service, and any other transit services provided within King County.

Land Use

Transit service should serve existing land uses and support countywide growth management objectives.

New transit service should be prioritized to locations where existing uses and recent growth have resulted in demand for transit, particularly suburban locations, that have seen the majority of the county’s growth in the past 20 years. Special consideration should be given to areas of the county that lack any transit service or have low levels of service relative to service demand.

Transit service should support the regional growth strategy and adopted countywide growth targets, which call for the majority of new growth to occur in communities with urban centers, including Seattle and many suburban cities, as well as substantial growth in smaller and more outlying communities. Transit service should support local plans that call for a mix and density of residential and commercial uses that promote transit ridership.

Financial Sustainability

Current sources of transit funding are insufficient and unreliable. Additional funding and funding tools that would provide predictable, sustainable revenues for transit will be needed.

Additional local funding options should be evaluated for areas desiring additional service

levels.

Metro should develop a system to monitor its financial stability on an ongoing basis and publish data on operating revenues and capital and operating costs in a timely manner.

Economic Development

Recognizing that convenient access to transit can spur economic development, transit facilities should be located in areas where local land use plans and zoning support economic development. Growth in the transit system should occur concurrently with employment growth to facilitate commuter access to key employment and service centers.

Productivity and Efficiency

See guiding principles for productivity and efficiency under Strategies for Increasing the Efficiency of King County Metro below.

State and Federal Legislative Agenda Issues to Achieve the Vision

Additional funding and funding tools that would provide sustainable revenues for transit will be needed.

Strategies for Increasing the Efficiency of King County Metro

To minimize the potential for reductions in transit service, Metro should continue to take measures to increase its efficiency and reduce operating costs. These include the measures recommended in the 2009 Transit Performance Audit, as well as any measures recommended in the 2010 audit of Metro's bus procurement program.

Metro should coordinate services with Sound Transit and other providers to reduce duplication of services.

Metro should strive to maximize ridership while at the same time recognizing that growth in ridership is a gradual process that requires safe, frequent, and reliable service and time to develop, particularly in newly urbanizing locations of the county.

Criteria for Systematically Reducing the Transit System should Revenues not be Available to Sustain It

Reductions in the transit system should not be considered until Metro has implemented all feasible measures to increase its productivity and efficiency (see above).

Any system-wide reduction in transit service due to insufficient operating revenues shall strive to maintain a minimum level of transit service, transit security, and customer service in all geographic areas of the county, and to preserve the voter-approved Transit Now programs within the collection of Transit Now revenues.

Reductions must be in proportion to each subarea's share of the total service investment, at each major service change, tailored to the needs of each sub-area, including the need to serve transit-dependent populations and implemented within each subarea in communication and consultation with appropriate King County Subarea Boards.

Regional Water Quality Committee (RWQC) King County



Ed Prince



Penny Sweet



Benson Wong



John Wright



Leanne Guier



Shari Winstead

2016 SCA Regional Water Quality Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term
Ed Prince	Renton	eprince@rentonwa.gov	12/31/16
Penny Sweet	Kirkland	psweet@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/16
Benson Wong	Mercer Island	benson.wong@mercergov.org	12/31/16
John Wright	Lake Forest Park	jwright@cityofflp.com	12/31/16
Alternate			
Leanne Guier	Pacific	lguier@ci.pacific.wa.us	12/31/16
Shari Winstead	Shoreline	swinstead@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/16
Staff			
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

Regional Water Quality Committee Meeting Times and Location

RWQC meets the first Wednesday of the month from 3:00 PM to 5:00 PM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the RWQC from 2:00 PM to 3:00 PM. Meetings are held in the King County Council Chambers, 10th floor, King County Courthouse, 516 Third Avenue, Seattle. WA 98104.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/council/committees/regional_water_quality.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Regional Water Quality Committee

In the early 1990's following the merger of King County and Metro, in response to structural changes in King County government, three multijurisdictional policy committees were created to address transportation (Regional Transit Committee - RTC), water pollution control (Regional Water Quality Committee - RWQC), and regional issues (Regional Policy Committee - RPC).

The Regional Water Quality Committee (RWQC) develops, reviews and recommends countywide policies and plans regarding water quality and sewer services issues, long range capital facilities plans, rate policies and facilities siting to guide King County's regional water quality responsibilities for consideration by King County Council.

Regional Water Quality Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

Representation on the RWQC includes three county councilmembers, two sewer district appointees, and four local elected city officials. Each county councilmember's vote is weighted as two votes. City officials are appointed from Seattle and other cities and towns in King County. Seattle appoints two members (each with one full vote). SCA appoints four representatives (each with a one-half vote). The Council may by ordinance authorize the appointment of additional, nonvoting members representing entities outside of the County that receive sewerage treatment services from the County. Members representing 6.5 votes constitute a quorum of a regional committee. In the absence of a quorum, the committee may perform all committee functions except for voting on legislation or a work program. Each King County regional committee has a chair and a vice-chair. The chair is a county councilmember appointed by the chair of the county council. The vice-chair is appointed by majority vote of those committee members who are not county councilmembers.

Issues that will likely be considered by the RWQC in 2016

- Complete the Regional Wastewater Services Plan (RWSP) update
- Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Program/Plan
- 2017 wastewater rate
- Major Capital and Asset Management Project updates
- Water Quality Assessment and Monitoring Study
- Capital debt policy
- Conveyance System Improvements
- Waterworks Grants
- Capital Cost Estimating

Selected SCA Regional Water Quality Committee Policy Position Statements

King County Water Quality Assessment and Monitoring Study (Adopted 8/21/2013)

SCA generally supports the current scope of work for the proposed Water Quality Assessment and Monitoring Study, but has concerns about the wide range of estimated costs for each element and the high ends of the estimated cost ranges. SCA supports approval of the Water Quality Assessment and Monitoring Study scope of work with the following caveats:

- The primary focus of the scope of work shall be to address items required as part of the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) program review, plan update, and program implementation;
- Discretionary items including: the “Synthesis Report” (Element 3) and “Scientific and Technical Review Team” (Element 4) should be included in the scope of work if the anticipated outcomes will produce long term cost savings for King County ratepayers;
- The need for an Executive Advisory Panel (as set forth in Element 5) has not been clearly established. Until and unless the need for a Panel is clearly demonstrated to RWQC and the County Council, the up to \$450,000 budgeted for this line item should not be expended;
- SCA requests that the Wastewater Treatment Division provide an annual report to the RWQC, which shall include detail regarding the costs expended and benefits received as a result of the expenditures.
- SCA supports the addition of a cost benefit analysis as a separate study to provide data and evaluation of the best investments of \$1 billion to achieve acceptable water quality standards by 2030.

Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) King County



David Baker



Largo Wales

2016 SCA Solid Waste Advisory Committee Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
David Baker	Kenmore	dbaker@kenmorewa.gov	9/30/17
Largo Wales	Auburn	lwales@auburnwa.gov	9/30/17

Staff

Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org
--------------	------------------------

King County Solid Waste Advisory Committee Meeting Times and Location

SWAC meetings are held on the third Friday of each month from 9:30 AM to 11:30 AM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of SWAC from 8:30 AM to 9:30 AM. SWAC meets in the 8th floor Conference Room of the King Street Center, 201 S. Jackson Street, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: <http://your.kingcounty.gov/solidwaste/about/advisory-committees.asp>

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) is a citizens' advisory body that provides input on solid waste management issues and decisions affecting county residents and the services they receive. SWAC is established under state law. The committee is balanced geographically and includes those who receive solid waste services, public interest groups, labor, recycling businesses, solid waste collection companies and local elected officials. SWAC reviews and advises on policy issues, including the Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan and the annual budget. This is a three-year appointment.

There is a second advisory committee related to solid waste. Cities who are party to a Solid Waste Interlocal Agreement with King County have a seat on the Metropolitan Solid Waste Management Advisory Committee (MSWMAC). MSWMAC advises the County Executive, the King County Council and the Regional Policy Committee in all matters related to solid waste management.

King County Solid Waste Advisory Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

The SWAC is composed of at least nine and no more than 20 members representing a balance of interests among the following groups: citizens, public interest groups, labor, business, the waste management industry, local elected public officials, the recycling industry, manufacturers located in King County, and marketing and education interests. Members shall provide ongoing public input, coordination, and information exchange between the SWAC and the groups that they represent.

Recommendations to the Solid Waste Division, Executive, County Council, or any other entity in the name of the SWAC shall be approved by a majority vote of the SWAC members present. Minority opinions may also be forwarded with the majority recommendation. Subcommittee recommendations shall not be considered recommendations of the SWAC unless the full SWAC has acted to approve them.

Issues that will likely be considered by the SWAC in 2016

- Transfer and Waste Management Plan Update
- Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan Update
- Sustainable Solid Waste Management Study implementation

Selected SCA Solid Waste Advisory Committee Policy Position Statements

Solid Waste – Energy Waste Technology (Adopted 7/17/2013)

Sound Cities Association supports the Solid Waste Division conducting a full review of options for waste disposal, including waste-to-energy, as part of the upcoming Sustainable Solid Waste System Study and through the Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan process.

2006 Solid Waste Transfer & Waste Management Plan Updates (Adopted 4/17/2013)

SCA requests that the Metropolitan Solid Waste Advisory Committee (MSWAC) and the King County Solid Waste Division review and recommend any appropriate updates to the 2006 Solid Waste Transfer and Waste Management Plan.

Staff Committees

All Home Funder Alignment Committee

Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Committee - King County

Interjurisdictional Team (IJT) - Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC)

Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) - Regional Project Evaluation Committee (RPEC)

South Central Action Area Caucus Group (SCAACG) - Puget Sound Partnership (PSP)

All Home Funder Alignment Committee

2016 SCA All Home Funder Alignment Committee Staff Appointments

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Rob Beem	Shoreline	rbeem@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/2016
Karen Bergsvik	Renton	kbergsvik@rentonwa.gov	12/31/2016
Brooke Buckingham	Redmond	bbuckingham@redmond.gov	12/31/2016

Staff

Ellie Wilson-Jones ellie@soundcities.org

All Home Funder Alignment Committee Meeting Times and Location

The Funder Alignment Committee generally meets the first Monday of even numbered months from 12:00 to 2:00 PM in the Seattle Municipal Tower, 700 Fifth Avenue, 58th floor, Room 5882, Seattle, WA 98104. SCA caucus meetings are scheduled before the full Funder Alignment Committee meeting.

Website: <http://allhomekc.org/committees/funder-alignment-committee/>

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

Background about the history of All Home—formerly the Committee to End Homelessness (CEH)—is included in the All Home Coordinating Board section of this booklet (discussed on page 6). Briefly, All Home adopted a new Strategic Plan and Charter in 2015. In addition to creating the new Coordinating Board, the revised Charter created three SCA seats (North, East, and South) for city staff on the Funder Alignment Committee.

The Funder Alignment Committee operates as a workgroup of major funders that works to usher resources to achieve the goals enumerated by the All Home Strategic Plan and policy direction set by the Coordinating Board.

All Home Funder Alignment Committee Make Up/Voting Rights:

In addition to the three SCA appointments, the membership of the Funder Alignment Committee also includes representatives from King County and the cities of Bellevue and Seattle as well as A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH) and philanthropic organizations.

Issues that will likely be considered by the All Home Funder Alignment Committee in 2016

- Implementation of the new All Home Strategic Plan
- Coordinated entry and assessment for all populations
- Reduction of screening criteria for funder-supported shelter and housing
- Development of a coordinated notice of funding availability for housing resources and other opportunities
- Project ranking for the annual McKinney Continuum of Care Application

Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Committee King County

2016 SCA AFIS Advisory Committee Appointment

Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Bob Harrison	Issaquah	bobh@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/16

Staff

Ellie Wilson-Jones	ellie@soundcities.org
--------------------	-----------------------

Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Advisory Committee Meeting Times and Location

AFIS Advisory Committee meetings are held quarterly on the third Thursday of the month from 9:30 AM to 11:30 AM in the Executive Conference Room in the King County Chinook Building, 401 Fifth Avenue, 1st floor, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/afis

A Brief History/Role of the AFIS

King County’s Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) is a levy-funded, countywide program that provides the staff and technology to support criminal fingerprint services for all 39 cities and unincorporated areas. The AFIS Program mission is to promote public safety and contribute to crime reduction by providing expert fingerprint identification services to criminal justice agencies throughout King County.

The AFIS Advisory Committee provides oversight for the operation and funding of AFIS services in King County. Since AFIS operations affect all law enforcement and jail agencies in King County, the AFIS Advisory Committee works to ensure that operations remain responsive to the needs of the region through involvement in recommending policy, setting expectations and protocols for each jurisdiction, and recommending budget proposals.

AFIS Advisory Committee Make Up:

SCA appoints a City Manager/City Administrator representative to the AFIS Advisory Committee. This is a three-year term. Other members of the committee include representatives from the City of Seattle, City of Bellevue, King County, and local law enforcement.

Interjurisdictional Team (IJT) Growth Management Planning Council

2016 SCA AFIS Advisory Committee Appointment

Member	City	Email Address
Rob Odle	Redmond	rodle@redmond.gov
Jack Pace	Tukwila	jack.pace@tukwilawa.gov
Eric Shields	Kirkland	eshields@kirklandwa.gov
Kevin Snyder	Auburn	ksnyder@auburnwa.gov

Staff

Doreen Booth	doreen@soundcities.org
--------------	------------------------

Interjurisdictional Team Meeting Times and Location

IJT meetings are held monthly on the fourth Friday from 9:30 AM to 11:30 AM. IJT meets at Bellevue City Hall, 450 110th Avenue NE, Bellevue, WA 98009.

Website: www.kingcounty.gov/property/permits/codes/growth/GMPC.aspx

A Brief History/Role of the Interjurisdictional Team

The Interjurisdictional Team (IJT) is staff to the Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC). In accordance with the agreement setting out the GMPC, each party to the GMPC designates staff to the IJT. The IJT prepares materials for the GMPC, drafting countywide planning policy amendments and other materials as directed by the GMPC. SCA has four city seats on the IJT and SCA staff also serves on the committee. City members are typically community development directors or planning directors with extensive GMA knowledge. Other members of the IJT represent Seattle, Bellevue, King County Executive's Office, King County Council, Seattle-King County Public Health, State Department of Commerce, school districts and sewer and water districts.

Puget Sound Regional Council Regional Project Evaluation Committee (RPEC)

2016 SCA Regional Project Evaluation Committee Appointments			
Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Maiya Andrews	Burien	maiya@burienwa.gov	12/31/18
Don Cairns	Redmond	dcairns@redmond.gov	12/31/18
Ingrid Gaub	Auburn	igaub@auburnwa.gov	12/31/18
David Godfrey	Kirkland	dgodfrey@kirklandwa.gov	12/31/18
Daniel Marcinko	Snoqualmie	dmarcinko@ci.snoqualmie.wa.us	12/31/18
Alternate	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Chad Bieren	Kent	cbieren@kentwa.gov	12/31/18
Sheldon Lynne	Issaquah	sheldonl@issaquahwa.gov	12/31/18
Kris Overleese	Kenmore	koverleese@kenmorewa.gov	12/31/18
Jim Seitz	Renton	jseitz@rentonwa.gov	12/31/18
Nytasha Sowers	Shoreline	nsowers@shorelinewa.gov	12/31/18
Staff			
Katie Kuciemba		katie@soundcities.org	

Regional Project Evaluation Committee Meeting Times and Location

The RPEC meets on the fourth Friday of the month from 9:30 AM to 11:30 AM. Caucus meetings are held in advance of the RPEC meeting from 9:00 AM to 9:30 AM. RPEC and caucus meetings are held at PSRC, 1011 Western Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98104.

Website: <http://www.psrc.org/about/advisory/rpec/>

Role of the Committee

The Regional Project Evaluation Committee (RPEC) is a staff committee of the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) whose primary role is to make recommendations to the Transportation Policy Board regarding the distribution and monitoring of PSRC’s federal funds. The Committee also serves an important role in providing input on other PSRC transportation planning issues. Representation is based on guiding principles adopted by PSRC’s Executive Board and current population to the extent possible. In addition, all ports, transit agencies, member tribal agencies, the Washington State Department of Transportation regional offices with responsibility for projects in the Puget Sound region, and the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency are represented.

SCA appoints five members and five alternates. SCA typically appoints Public Works Directors or other high profile staff that are responsible for applying for and managing federal transportation grants within their jurisdiction. This appointment is a three-year term, with a maximum of two terms unless the SCA Board of Directors makes an exception to the two-term policy when in the best interest of SCA and its member cities.

South Central Action Area Caucus Group (SCAACG) Puget Sound Partnership

2016 SCA South Central Action Area Caucus Group Appointments			
Member	City	Email Address	Term Expiration
Mike Mactutis	Kent	mmactutis@kentwa.gov	12/31/16
Aaron Halverson	Lake Forest Park	ahalverson@ci.lake-forest-park.wa.us	12/31/16
Staff			
Doreen Booth		doreen@soundcities.org	

South Central Action Area Caucus Group Meeting Times and Location

The SCAACG meets monthly on the first Wednesday from 12:00 PM to 2:00 PM. Caucus meetings will be held as needed. Meetings are held at Renton City Hall, 7th floor, 1055 South Grady Way, Renton, WA 98057; however, the location and time is subject to change.

Website: www.govlink.org/sc-puget-sound-action-area/Index.htm

A Brief History/Role of the Committee

The goal and charge of the Puget Sound Partnership is to recover the health and function of Puget Sound ecosystems. Integrating and implementing the Puget Sound Salmon Recovery Plan and the Action Agenda are the basis for achieving Puget Sound recovery. The Partnership is working with local communities in action areas across Puget Sound to identify local Puget Sound recovery priorities and coordinate efforts to implement actions called for in the Action Agenda, which guides the effort to recover Puget Sound by 2020. The purpose of the South Central Action Area Caucus Group is to help refine and confirm local action area priorities using input from constituents, and to help identify opportunities to become more efficient and effective through coordination and integration of Puget Sound recovery efforts.

Caucus group membership includes elected officials and staff from key implementer groups, including local jurisdictions, watershed groups, tribes, business, and non-governmental organizations. SCA appoints two members to the Committee. Committee work is done by consensus.

Issues that will likely be considered by the SCAACG in 2016

- Continue to build and cultivate strong community support for the Puget Sound’s recovery
- Seek input and represent interests of constituents on implementation of the Action Agenda
- Advance strategic local priorities for implementing the Action Agenda for Puget Sound recovery, including advising the Partnership on legislative priorities, funding needs, and direction and allocation of resources
- Coordinate and integrate local efforts to advance Puget Sound recovery
- Implement a National Estuary funding approach for the SCAACG area

Selected SCA South Central Action Area Caucus Group Policy Position Statements

South Central Action Area Caucus Group (SCAACG) – Guiding Principles (Adopted 7/20/2011)

SCA supports the following guidelines to be used in reviewing the Action Area Briefs:

- The SCAACG may encourage or recommend local government to make certain regulatory or policy additions, revisions, and updates to support Puget Sound recovery efforts; however, the SCAAC should not put into place plans or policies that require local governments to adopt more stringent regulations/plans/policies than currently exist.
- The SCAACG may collect existing information/data/studies from local governments. Governments will make available existing information/data/studies in a timely manner, as resources are available.
- The SCAACG may encourage local governments to prioritize actions consistent with the Action Agenda and SCAAC priorities. Local governments should consider such prioritization requests if they are consistent with local policies, regulations, and work plans.
- The SCAACG may encourage local governments to work collaboratively with other organizations on achieving Action Area goals.
- The SCAACG may lead a communication effort among members in an effort to meet Action Agenda priorities in a more consistent manner. Local governments should consider participation, as resources are available.

Other Recent SCA Policy Positions

Oil Train Safety (Adopted 6/25/2014)

In order to address the dramatic increase in the amount of oil being transported through our region by rail and vessel transport through our bodies of water, the potential impacts on public safety and economic disruption from a possible crude oil spill incident, the Sound Cities Association (SCA) asks the Federal Government to implement safety regulations regarding oil transport via rail and urges the Washington State Legislature to adopt legislation promoting rail safety.

SCA acknowledges there are measures in place to promote the safety of marine transportation and protect state waters from oil spills, but urges the Federal Government and Washington State Legislature to review those measures due to the increased movement of crude oil.

SCA also urges rail companies to share the following information with local communities so they may be fully informed and plan for the risks posed by the transport of oil by rail: types of petroleum being transported; transportation routes; the frequency and duration of transfers of petroleum; and efforts and actions to ensure the safe transport of such commodities.

SCA urges the King County Office of Emergency Management to review and update the county's incident response plans to address the risk from increased transport of petroleum by rail.

Youth Athletic Facilities (Adopted 11/26/2014)

SCA supports re-establishing and increasing competitive grant funding for the "Youth Athletic Facilities" program administered by the Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO).

Recreational Immunity (Adopted 12/17/2014)

SCA supports legislation to clarify the scope of recreational immunity in Washington State, and clarify that potential dual purposes of land does not reduce the scope of immunity provided by RCW 4.24.210.

The Washington State Supreme Court has created a disincentive for recreational facility investments in its recent interpretation of RCW 4.24.210, the state's recreational immunity statute. The Court's interpretation of the nature and role of bicycling and bicycle paths, as applied to RCW 4.24.210, creates the type of potential liability for local government, policymakers, and private landowners that discourages any investments in bicycling or other recreational trails and facilities and encourages decisions to revoke existing access privileges. This outcome is counter to the recreational, economic, and health policies and objectives of the state that underlie RCW 4.24.210.

TIGER CUBS grants (Adopted 12/17/2014)

SCA supports reauthorization of the TIGER grant program and Congressman Rick Larsen’s “TIGER CUBS” legislation with the following amendments:

The population threshold should be modified to include cities up to 60,000; and
Funding for “TIGER CUBS” be in addition to “TIGER” grants funding.

Property Tax Cap (Adopted 12/17/2014)

While cities understand and appreciate property owners’ concerns about rising costs and increased property taxes, in some jurisdictions the current 1% property tax cap has created an ever widening structural gap between revenues, and the costs of providing needed services to residents and making prudent investments in infrastructure. SCA therefore supports legislation to raise the 1% property tax revenue limit.

A complete list of adopted SCA policy positions can be found at:

www.soundcities.org/current-issues-and-public-policy-positions/.

SCA Board Policies Regarding Appointments to Regional Committees and Boards

701 APPOINTMENT POLICY

A member representing the Sound Cities Association is expected to reflect policy which has been developed by the Sound Cities Association when sitting as a member of any regional committee, board or task force. (8/16/1995)

701.1 Exercise of SCA Appointment Authority

- a) Sound Cities Association (hereafter, SCA) exercises appointment authority on behalf of its member cities for those regional forums in which the municipalities of King County, other than Seattle, share representation and where, by charter, bylaw or interlocal contract, the appointment authority is exercised by the affected jurisdictions.
- b) SCA's appointment authority for shared representation is valid for so long as SCA's membership comprises over 50% of the suburban municipalities containing over 50% of the suburban population.
- c) SCA may be asked to recommend appointments to regional forums where appointment authority resides in others (state or county executives or legislative bodies). SCA is not responsible for the appointment subsequently made, staffing scheduling or information distribution.
- d) While SCA attempts to meet the representational requirements of regional bodies, it is the policy of SCA's board of directors to promote consolidation of regional issues in such a way as to provide for effective decision-making through efficient use of our staff and elected officials' time. This policy may be evidenced through the creation of the SCA Public Issues Committee. (9-19-2007)
- e) SCA shall retain discretion in accepting or exercising appointment authority for new forums, based on the perceived value of the forum proposed, availability of elected officials or staff with prerequisite knowledge, and the availability of alternate forums for exercise of the same function. The Board shall be the final arbiter of acceptance of responsibility for new forums.
- f) Board appoints staff representatives. (10-29-99, 7/28/05, 9/19/07)

701.2. Identification of Appointees

Regional Committee Appointments shall be recommended to the Board of Directors by December 31st each year. (Bylaws 11/17/04, 9/19/07, 12/17/2014)

- a) SCA shall, in the fall of each year, issue a call for nominations from the cities of King County for all open seats for King County regional boards, committees and task forces.
- b) SCA shall provide for notice to the membership of:
 - all regional appointments currently being reviewed,
 - the process and timeline for approval of appointments,
 - any requirements or criteria for consideration
 - appointments which are available due to retirement or resignation
- c) The Public Issues Committee through its Nominating Committee shall review all pertinent information and determine a draft list of appointments for the coming year with regard to the following criteria:
 - geographic distribution
 - size distribution
 - governance distribution
 - ability to serve, interest in serving, past participation
 - knowledge of the subject matter
 - Preference shall be given to current SCA members (12/17/2014)
- d) Appointments may be continued from year to year, upon recommendation of the Public Issues Committee and approval of the Board of Directors, based on:
 - past participation and continued interest in serving,
 - successful representation of membership interests in past efforts,
 - information from the caucus chair, if any,
 - comparative need for organizational continuity in any appointment.
- e) Appointments are valid for one year unless specified by the governing authority (9/19/07)
- f) Alternates will be appointed for boards, committees and task forces, according to the same criteria as full members. (10/29/1999, 7/28/2005)
- g) For vacancies and new appointment opportunities, SCA shall issue a call for nominations from the cities of King County and follow the procedure as outlined in b) through f) above. Any vacancies occurring after August 1st shall be filled at the discretion of the Board of Directors. (7/19/2006)
- h) Appointment of electeds for terms less than 6 months or interim workgroups and task forces shall be made directly by the Board of Directors. (7/19/2006)
- i) Each city may have no more than one representative serving at a time on any individual regional board, committee, advisory council, or task force. Further, cities are asked to not submit multiple applicants for the same committee. The process for deciding which applicant's name to submit is left to the discretion of each individual city. (12/17/2014)

701.3 Roles and Responsibilities of Appointees

- a) Appointees and alternates to regional forums are expected to attend meetings of the forum and of the SCA caucus. At the beginning of each appointment year, caucus chairs, in coordination with the executive director, shall establish steps to

- be taken in confirming attendance, notifying of absences or other procedural matters concerning the forum. (9/19/2007)
- b) Appointees and alternates should be knowledgeable of the subject matter of the forum, reviewing materials and participating in discussion on behalf of the interests of the affected jurisdictions. Appointees shall observe any protocols or procedures of the forum in which they participate.
 - c) Alternates should participate in all caucus meetings and in materials distribution on a par with full members, including the discussion of any caucus consensus position.
 - d) Alternates will be considered by the Board to succeed to full participation in any forum on the resignation or illness of the principal appointee, for the duration of the current term. (9/19/2007)
 - e) Appointees and alternates should regularly report activities and pending issues of their forum to SCA's membership, through attendance and participation in SCA meetings of the Public Issues Committee and/or membership meetings, written reports or electronic communication, as is appropriate.
 - f) Appointees and alternates shall, in all matters for which they are a delegate of SCA, represent the common interests of all member cities. Appointees shall notify the caucus lead and the Executive Director of SCA in the event that the interests of the city for which they are an elected official prevents them from or interferes with their representation of the interests of all member cities.
 - g) A representative designated as caucus chair by SCA's appointees shall be individually responsible for reporting forum issues and activities to the Public Issues Committee, and for working with and being available to any assigned staff in support of that forum. (9/19/2007)
 - h) The caucus members should be responsible for identification of cross-forum issues and the caucus chair should be responsible for communicating cross-forum or conflicting issues to the Board. (Board 10/29/1999, 7/28/2005, 9/19/2007)

701.4. ANNUAL PREPARATION FOR APPOINTMENTS PROCESS

- a) SCA will provide for a joint seminar to provide training and orientation for regional appointees, alternates and any affected staff in January. This training will be scheduled to provide an orientation vehicle for newly elected officials. Newly elected officials will also be invited to attend.
 - Caucus chairs and vice-chairs will be selected with consideration being given to geographic balance. (9/19/2007, 10/19/11)
 - Process for action will be identified
 - Member staff will be assigned and duties identified
- b) SCA, in consultation with caucus chairs for the various forums, will provide for an annual review of existing forums, which may include external or internal assessments of the forum's utility to the member cities, effectiveness of the forum in meeting the needs of regional decision making, and potential future value to the member cities. In consultation with the Board, SCA's president will provide for dissemination or communication of the annual review to member cities and regional governments. (Board 10/29/99, 7/28/05, 9/19/07)

701.5 SCA Caucuses – Manner of Acting

a. Caucus Chair Responsibilities

- Determine if caucus meeting is needed
- Set SCA Caucus meeting agenda with the assistance of lead staff
- Have identified technical staff to provide technical briefing, as necessary
- Attend and chair SCA Caucus meeting
- Confirm attendance of an SCA Caucus alternate in case of an absence
- Absent an SCA position, poll Caucus and develop a majority position of those present or available prior to regional forum meeting. Absent a majority position, ask for a delay in action (9/19/2007)
- Attend regional forum meetings
- Seventy-five percent attendance at both caucus and regional committee meetings is expected
- Serve as liaison to SCA Public Issues Committee; communicate SCA Board positions on issues
- Brief Public Issues Committee and solicit ideas and discussion when appropriate
- Present agreed-to motions to regional forum or designate this responsibility to other Caucus member
- Coordinate political and policy issues with other regional forum members from Seattle and King County as appropriate
- After an SCA appointee misses 30% of the caucus and/or committee meetings SCA shall inquire about the intent of the appointee to fulfill his/her responsibilities. At 50% absence, the Board of Directors may ask for the resignation of the appointee (7/22/09)
- Make every attempt to generate a caucus recommendation to SCA for the development of a timely position statement (10/20/10)

b. Caucus Member/Alternate Responsibilities

- Represent SCA Board position on issues
- Review material in advance of meetings; participate in discussion and help develop Caucus consensus
- Attend Caucus meetings and briefings
- Attend regional committee meetings
- Seventy-five percent attendance at both caucus and regional committee meeting is expected
- Alternates participate as members in all activities except voting (7/28/2005)
- Absent an SCA position, the caucus chair shall poll Caucus and develop a majority position of those present or available prior to regional forum meeting. Absent a majority position, the caucus chair shall ask for a delay in action. Absent a delay in action, delegates represent their perception of the position of the membership. In the event of the latter, SCA appointees may not further lobby their individual position as a representative of SCA. Absent an SCA position or consensus by the caucus, appointees may lobby as a representative of their city unless the issue has been identified as a divisive issue by the SCA Board of Directors. If the issue is a divisive issue the appointees shall abstain

from voting. (10/20/10)

- After an SCA appointee misses 30% of the caucus and/or committee meetings SCA shall inquire about the intent of the appointee to fulfill his/her responsibilities. At 50% absence, the Board of Directors may ask for the resignation of the appointee. (7/22/09)

Caucus Staff Lead

- Caucus staff will be appointed by the Executive Director when possible and appropriate. (6/16/2010)
- Caucus staff should represent balanced geographic distribution
- The purpose of the caucus staff lead is to:
 - a. Support the public policy positions of SCA and the work of the electeds assigned to the board/committee;
 - b. Support the caucus chair in planning agendas for SCA caucus meetings;
 - c. Advise the caucus on issues and concerns of the member jurisdictions, to assist in drafting the background and recommended policy positions for the SCA Public Issues Committee; and
 - d. Assist in drafting the background and recommended policy positions for the SCA Public Issues Committee. (9/19/2007)

702 REGIONAL PROJECT EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR PSRC

702.1 Background

The Regional Project Evaluation Committee is a standing committee of the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC), established for the purpose of ranking projects consistent with the policy framework adopted by the PSRC's Executive Board. The Committee is made up of staff members from participating general governments and agencies, currently identified and authorized by a process administered by the Sound Cities Association.

Staff who are designated as representing 'other cities and towns' in all four participating counties are the only staff who must represent the interests of more than one unit of general government. Thus, it is important that these staff are mindful of their role and responsibilities to 'other cities and towns'. (4-1-2004, 7-28-2005)

702.2 Process and administration

SCA's process for identifying and naming staff shall be designed to

- distribute representation from all geographic regions represented by these SCA cities as equally as possible, by communicating with all cities in a geographic region on the occasion of a vacancy;
- provide for notice to all SCA cities through the mayor or chief executive officer, when a vacancy occurs on the committee due to resignation or retirement of an existing staff member;
- ensure that no city or individual staff person shall serve more than two terms (six-years) three funding cycles (six years, the current 'life' of federal transportation enabling statutes) as a voting member of the RPEC, without other cities in the

geographic region having an opportunity to identify a staff member to serve on the committee; (12-16-2015)

- in extraordinary circumstances, the Board may make exceptions to the two term limit when a supermajority (2/3) of Board members present and voting at a meeting finds that doing so would be in the best interests of SCA and its member cities; (12-16-2015)
- open a call for nominations every 3 years to coincide with RPEC's review of membership, as per PSRC's Board procedures, at the conclusion of each funding cycle (typically 3 years) to ensure appropriate participation; (7-19-2006, 12-16-2015)
- provide staff members to serve as alternates to the full members of the RPEC. The alternates shall be afforded preference in appointment when a member vacancy occurs;
- ensure that the King County-wide forum chair is a member of the RPEC, if that forum chair is an employee of a city which is classified as one of the 'other cities and towns' of King County, within the meaning of the Puget Sound Regional Council's representational scheme.

The Board of SCA shall have final authority on appointments to the RPEC. (4-1-2004, 7-28-2005)

702.3 Roles and responsibilities

Staff members serving on behalf of 'other cities and towns' in King County shall endeavor to

- exercise judgment in the application of project ranking criteria in a manner that represents 'other cities and towns' in King County equally, without undue preference to projects within their city;
- arrange regular communication with the group of cities represented on matters coming before the RPEC, through electronic written reports or meetings;
- make themselves known to and available for consultation with elected officials serving on the Transportation Policy Board or the Executive Board of Puget Sound Regional Council, to ensure appropriate linkage among all parties representing 'other cities and towns' at the Puget Sound Regional Council. (4-1-2004)



SOUND CITIES ASSOCIATION

6300 Southcenter Blvd. Suite 206
Tukwila, WA 98188
Phone: (206) 433-7168
Email: sca@soundcities.org
Website: www.soundcities.org